Some recent developments in wave turbulence theory

Gigliola Staffilani

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

International Conference on PDE and Applications in Honor of the 70th Birthday of Piero Marcati







Wave Turbulence Theory

"When in a given physical system a large number of waves are present, the description of each individual wave is neither possible nor relevant. What becomes of physical importance and practical use are the density and the statistics of the interacting waves: this is Wave TurbulenceTheory"

The statistical description of a system of interacting waves is of great importance in physics:



Gravity



Internal waves



Bose Einstein Condensate

The Energy Spectrum
Conscioler a PDE (dispersive, fluid...). let
$$\mathcal{U}(t,x)$$

 $\omega: \SigmaO, TJ \times M \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}, IR, M = IR^n, TT^n$ solution.
then $\sum |\mathcal{U}(t,\kappa)|^{\mathcal{R}} \sum_{\kappa}$ is the Energy Spectrum
A major prestion in Wore Turbuluce is to
 \mathcal{U} understand proprienties of the Energy Spectrum



• Linear core : $\hat{\mathcal{U}}(t, h) = e^{i t \ln l^2} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_0(h) \Longrightarrow |\hat{\mathcal{U}}(t, h)|^2 |\hat{\mathcal{U}}_0(h)|^2$ Bourgain's Iolea: Check Z In(t,n) 2 C n ? 25 ? Monlinuor loss in IR²: Ju² E H^S, SZ2 SI. $\|\mathcal{U}(t) - S(t)\mathcal{U}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{H^{s}} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \|\mathcal{U}(t)\|_{S} \leq C.$ · Moulinea Con in IR or TT: IVP is integrable system Conservation loves => || M(+) || HM & Cm, ME IN

Wave kinetic equation

Clearly it vould be much more effective to derive an effective equation for the spectrum { | î (+, u) | c } . When possible this is called the Nove kinetic Equation (IKKE). Formal Derivation (see Nazarenho's book) (&v) Rigorous Derivation (mch hurder, more later)

On energy transfer



Energy transfer: bounds from above

The proof is besed high law method, upsidedown I-method, integration by parts.

<u>Remarks</u>: If our assumes the toxus to be irretional better results are orailable. See Deng-Germain, Deng in T? Also more leter.

Energy transfer: is there growth?

$$\frac{\text{Theorem } \left[(\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U} - \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U$$

<u>Amach</u>: this is a "Kesh" result Sina us do not Know what happens after time T. (See also the work of Guadie-How-Hous-Maspero-Proasi)

Idea of the proof
Solve for
$$\mathcal{U}(t_1x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_n(t) \mathcal{C}^{(t+|h|^2+\lambda\cdot h)}$$
 (ommet space)
 \Longrightarrow $i\mathcal{L}a_n = |a_n|^2 a_n - \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ n_1, h_2, h_3 \in \mathbb{T}(h)}} a_{n_1} \overline{a_{n_2}} a_{n_3}$ (FNLS)
 $\overline{\Gamma}(n) = \left\{ (h_{1,1}h_{c_1}h_{3}) / N = h_1 - n_2 + n_3 \\ \omega_4 = |h_1|^2 - |h_2|^2 + |h_3|^2 - |h_1|^2 = 0 \right\}$
 $\mathcal{C}h_1h_{c_1}h_{c_2}h_{3}$) are in Yesononce

By making further restrictions on the resonant system becomes: (ibj= 16,12 bj - 2 bj-, bj - 2 bj+, b) (Tay Hooke) b,(+) = b, (+) =0 0=1, -, N b (0) = b; The Toy Hoold "lives on Z= { x o C // 1x1=13 2 (]:[]'----, L-> trousfu from love to high. ~

Some preliminary remarks

(*) Are these results shorp ! No! (dry) What do us expect? May be a log |t1 growth for |t1?? 1. (see results by Bourgoin on linear with potential) Lavge leterature authen topic: Bambusi, Berki, Collinster, Delo et, Guardie, Hani, Hous, Mespero, Oh, Proasi, W. H. Mary Hani-Pousader - 12vetkov - Visagle: the cubic , depending NIS on $\mathbb{R} \times \pi^{-d}$ (relievel) for d=7,34 at t=3 or presents a elynamics dictated by the Tay Hookel $\|\mathcal{U}(t_{n})\|_{H^{S}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{T}^{d})} \ge \exp\left(C\left(\log\log t_{n}\right)^{2}\right)$

New Results for irrational tori This north is joint with A. Hrabski Y. Pan, B. Uilson Definition: le sur that a torus The is irrutional if $\widehat{\Delta}_{\Pi^2} = \omega_i^2 k_i^2 + \omega_z^2 k_z^2 \qquad \omega_i^2 / \omega_z^2 = \alpha \text{ is rational}$ Theorem 1: Assume \$2.3 and M(t,x) solution to Hu cubic, defocuring NLS on TTX, & irrational and dy braic, and $\mathcal{U}(0, x) = \mathcal{U}_{0} \in \mathbb{H}^{3}$, sup $\mathcal{U}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{2}$ $\| \mathcal{U}(t) \|_{\mathsf{U}^{\mathsf{S}}} \lesssim C_{\mathsf{R}} \left[1 + |t| \right] \text{ for } |t| >> 1.$ Hun

Elements of the proof

* Introduction of a "quori resonant" associated WP * Showing that this IVP is gill p. in Le and showing that it "almost" decouples into 2 1D cubic NLS problems + Prove a stability lemme "that allows us to go bach to the field NLS problem.

The 4-waves resonant set for the irrational torus

kecole that
$$\sum_{T^{2}} (k_{1}, k_{e}) = (k_{i}^{e} + k_{i}^{e} + k_{e}^{e}) = \lambda_{k}$$

$$R_{v} = \begin{cases} (k_{1}, k_{e}, k_{s}, k_{s}) \\ \lambda_{k_{1}} - \lambda_{k_{s}} + \lambda_{s} - \lambda_{k_{s}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Yesonicular$$

$$R_{v} = k_{v} \cap R_{2}$$

$$R_{v}^{i} := \begin{cases} (k_{1}, k_{e}, k_{s}, k_{s}) \\ k_{e} + k_{s} - \lambda_{k_{s}} + \lambda_{s} - \lambda_{k_{s}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$R_{v}^{i} := \begin{cases} (k_{1}, k_{e}, k_{s}, k_{s}) \\ (K_{1}^{i})^{e} + (K_{s}^{i})^{e} = (k_{s}^{i})^{e} + (k_{s}^{i})^{e} \end{cases}$$

$$There is a decoupling into two 10 resonant sets !$$

The 4-waves quasiresonant set

Definition: Fix 1, 2>0, re define the puon-resonant Set

 $\Omega\left(\Delta_{1}\varepsilon\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\lambda_{k_{1}}, \lambda_{k_{2}}, \lambda_{k_{3}}\right) / \left(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3} = \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{k_{4}}\right) \\ \left(\lambda_{k_{1}} - \lambda_{k_{2}} + \lambda_{k_{3}} - \lambda_{k_{k_{4}}}\right) \leq \frac{\Delta}{\left(\left|\lambda_{k_{1}}\right|^{\ell} + \left|\lambda_{k_{1}}\right|^{\ell} + \left|\lambda_{k_{4}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1+2}} \end{cases}$ Mole: The constant A is used, when encoulding small denominators, to off set the large clote assurption. More later.

 $(NUS)^{*} \begin{cases} i^{2} v + \Delta v = (1v)^{2} v \end{cases}^{*}$ $(NUS)^{*} \begin{cases} i^{2} v + \Delta v = (1v)^{2} v \end{cases}^{*}$ $v = 0 \quad \text{ for } v = 0 \quad$ Theorem 2 Consider the IVP The $(NLS)^*$ conserves the mon $(i.e. \|\nabla L^{\epsilon})\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{U}_{\circ}\|_{L^2}$ Moreover $(N(S)^{*}$ is globely well - posed in $L^{2}(\overline{T(x)})$, and if supplies = BR. Hen J H7O S.t. $\| F(\chi_{\mathcal{B}_{\mu}^{c}}, \widehat{\mathcal{V}}_{ct})) \|_{H^{s}} = 0 \qquad (\mathcal{J} t \ge 0)$ Hote: Here Molypends on (A, 2), an R and on the irretionality of a.

Kemorts on theorem 2 : 1) In the C-K-S-T-T work the dynamics of the Toy model was happening is with in that of the (NLS)". Theorem & confirms more in details that is the very irrational core there is no growth from the resonant set. 2) Mote that global well posichers in l² for the full periodic autor NLS is a major open problem. This is due to the loss of e-derivative in the Stricharte astructor.

Corollouy: Assume No is such that Suplis ≤ BR then if V is solution to (N(S)* s.h. V(0)= hs then $\| v(t) \|_{H^{s}} \leq C \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \forall s \geq 0$ $\frac{\operatorname{Proof}}{\operatorname{Proof}}: \exists H s.L \quad \exists < u >^{es} | \widehat{v}(t, u)|^2 = 0, \text{ then}$ $\sum_{|\mathbf{u}|} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}^{2S} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(t_{1}|\mathbf{u})} \Big|^{2} = \sum_{|\mathbf{u}| \leq H} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}^{2S} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}^{2S} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{v}}^{2S} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathbf{v}}^{(t_{1}|\mathbf{u})} \Big|^{2}$ = H^{2S} ||U_0 || 12



Remarks on the stability lemma

After a rescaling, one needs to prove that the non - quarresonant part of the solution is small." this is equivalent to eximating: $a_{k_1} \overline{a_{k_2}} a_{k_3}(z) e^{i c \sigma} dz$, $\Theta = \lambda_{k_1} - \lambda_{k_2} + \lambda_{k_3}$ Su = quarresonant set. Smalling 2(2+ Note that in $S_{\mu}^{c} \implies |\Theta|^{-1} \leq (mox |k|)$ Conclude by integration by ports.

From dispersive equations to wave kinetic equations



Numerical solutions of the isotropic 3-wave kinetic equation _ C. Connaughton



Can we derive the wave kinetic equation? Fundamental original work on This typic by: Veierls, Hasselmen, Benney-Soffmon-heuell, Zakhavor, L'vor, Pomeou, Neterenho, ... In these works on starts from a certain weakly woulinear dispersive equation (NUS, KolV, --) with parameters E, L and a back ground probability, then various types of formal approximations and limits are taken => WKE is obtained !

Example of a formal derivation of a WKE

Consider the Zakharov - Kuznetsor (Zk) epublion

$$\mathcal{U}_{\xi} \phi(x,t) = -\Delta x_{i} \phi(x,t) + \mathcal{E} \mathcal{I}_{x_{i}} (\phi^{2}(x,t)) \quad x \in [-1, L]^{d}$$

let $\mathcal{N}_{u}(t) = \mathbb{E} \left(|\hat{\phi}(k,t)|^{2} \right)$. At the Kinetic time $t = \mathcal{E}^{-2}_{c}$
tahing $L - 200$ then $\mathcal{E} - 20 \implies \mathcal{I}_{c} \mathcal{N}_{u}(c) = \mathcal{Q} (\mathcal{N}_{u}(c))$
 $\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{N}_{u,1}) = \int dk_{c} dk_{s} |k'_{s}| k'_{s} | ^{2} \delta (\omega(k_{s}) + \omega(k_{s}) - \omega(c_{s})) \\ x \delta (k_{c} + k_{s} - k_{s}) [\mathcal{N}_{u_{s}} \mathcal{N}_{u_{s}} - \mathcal{N}_{u_{s}} \mathcal{N}_{u_{s}} sig(k'_{s}) sig(k'_{s})] \\ - \mathcal{N}_{u_{s}} \mathcal{N}_{u_{s}} sig(k'_{s}) sig(k'_{s})] \\ \omega(u) = h^{1} |u|^{2} \end{array} \right]$

Define
$$a_{n}(t) := \sqrt{(t,k)} / \sqrt{(k')}$$

Assume $a_{u}(t)$ are Romotom Phase Amplitude (RPA)
fields. We want to write:
 $a_{u}(t) = a_{u}^{(o)}(t) + \varepsilon a_{u}^{(1)}(t) + \varepsilon^{2} a_{u}^{(2)}(t) + ...$
We obscire $a_{u}^{(i)} \quad i = 0, 1, 2$ from the $(2k)$:
 $a_{u} = i \omega(k) a_{u} + i \varepsilon \sum_{k=h_{1}+k_{2}} \sin(h') a_{u_{1}} a_{u_{2}}$

 $A_{u}^{(o)} = A_{u}(o) = \phi_{o}(h)$ (initial dotum) $\mathcal{A}_{u}^{(i)} = -i \operatorname{sram}(u') \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}}_{u=k_{1}+u_{2}} \bigvee_{u_{1},u_{2},u} \mathcal{A}_{u_{1}}^{(o)} \mathcal{A}_{u_{2}}^{(o)} \int_{-1}^{+} \underbrace{\ell^{i} \omega_{i_{2}}^{k} s}_{d_{2}} d_{d_{2}}^{(o)} d_{u_{2}}^{(i)} d_{u_{2}}^{(i)}$ $\omega_{1,k}^{\mu} = \omega(\mu_{1}) + \omega(\mu_{2}) - \omega(\mu)$ $\alpha_{\mu}^{(2)} = -2 \sum_{k \in k_1 + k_2}^{l} \operatorname{sign} (k' k_1') V_{\mu \, h_1 \, h_2} V_{\mu_3 \, \mu_4 \, h_4}$ $h_1 = h_2 + h_{\infty}$ • $A_{\mu_{s}}^{(o)} A_{\mu_{3}}^{(o)} A_{\mu_{u}}^{(o)} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{t} \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{s} \ell^{i} \left(\omega_{34}^{l} \overline{b} + \omega_{1z}^{h} \overline{s} \right) d\overline{b} d\overline{s}$

Finally are there is ignore terms with
$$\varepsilon^{k}$$
, $k > 2$.
 $N_{\mu}(t) = |E(|a_{\mu}(t)|^{2}) \cong \langle (a_{\mu}^{(o)} + \varepsilon a_{\mu}^{(i)} + \varepsilon^{2} a_{\mu}^{(i)})(a_{\mu}^{(o)} + \varepsilon a_{\mu}^{(i)} + \varepsilon^{2} a_{\mu}^{(i)}) \rangle$
Ve place the copressions for $a_{\mu}^{(i)}$, $i = 0, 1, 2$,
was RPA and keeping only ε^{2} and taking
 $L \rightarrow 00$ then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}} N_{n} = \mathcal{Q}(N_{n})$$

Mathematical literature: rigorous derivation

 Erdos-Yan, Erdos-Solunho jer-yan: Random Rineer Schrödinger an a lattice setting -> likeo Baltemann (kinetic time) -> huot equation (diffusion time t = 1^{-2-E})

• Lukkarihen-Spohn: Romolow Cubic NLS at eperilibrium and on a huttice setting

-> (lineoused) lare knowic equation at kinetic time.

Random In Fiel Doto . · Buchmester - Gennoin - Hour - Shetch : NLS in continuum core -> below kinetic time (liken kinetic epustion) · Collot - Germain, Deug - How : NLS in continuum cone -> strictly below kinetic time (linear kinetic quelow) · Dung - How : NLS in continuum are -> at killetic time (konlinear killetic question) i2+ \$ + \$ \$ = \$ [\$ |\$ |\$ \$, on periodic torus [0, L] d ol ≥3 · Lukkarinen - Vusksenmaa : NLS in lattice come -> at Kinutic time d 24.

Ma : ZK equation with dissipation and in continuum.
 WK E before kindic time

Recent work by S.-Tran

The equation is considered on a lattice

$$A = \{0, 1, \dots, 2L\}^{ol} \qquad d \ge 2 \quad (dimension)$$

$$L in M.$$

Passing to frequency space
We write
$$w = (w_{1}^{4}, ..., w'') \in \Lambda_{x} = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{$$

Set
$$a_{k} = \frac{\widehat{\psi}(k)}{\sqrt{|\overline{uv}(k)|}}$$
 and rewrite the equation
 $da_{k} = i \omega(k) a_{k} elt + i \in \Theta \quad A_{k} o d U_{k}$
 $i \in \int dk_{i} o(k_{i} \sin \varphi(k^{4}) \sqrt{|\overline{uv}(k)|} \overline{w}(k_{i}) \overline{w}(k_{i}) \int (k-k_{i}-k_{i}) a_{k} a_{k} dt$
 $\int (A^{4})^{e}$
Definition [two points correlation faction] obtainly faction
 $\int (a(t)) = \int |a(t)|^{2} df(t) := \langle a \overline{a} \rangle$

Statement of the main result
low sider the two-points correlation function

$$f(k_1,t) = \langle a(t_1,k) | \overline{a}(t_1,k) \rangle = \int d_f |a_n(t_1|^2)$$

Theorem [S.-Tren] let of 7.2, under suitable (but general)
assumptions on the initial distribution fo, if $t = \varepsilon^{-2}$
 $\varepsilon < \varepsilon^{-1}$
 $\lim_{k \to 0} f(k_1, \varepsilon^{-2}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} c_{k_1, \varepsilon}$ and
 $\frac{2}{2\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\infty} (k_1, \varepsilon) = Q(\int_{0}^{\infty})(k_1, \varepsilon)$ 3-Use kinetic
Equation

The difficulties

- In the rigorous derivation are needs to estimate all the Feynman graphs
- The discreate setting is much more complicated them The can timem setting
- The dispersion relation is very singular

The quordretic nonlinearity is not as good as the arbic handimenty How we dealt with the obstacles

We can centrated an the Study of the opendion for the density function p(t) [liouville Equation] The stochestic term acts only on angles not moomtude and gives to the Liouville epudion some dissipation with the angle veriebles. We looked for a version type of convergence and this ollowed for L and & not to be coupled.

Thenks for your attention