UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

VILLUM FONDEN

Neutrino Sources in Light of Recent IceCube Results

Markus Ahlers, Niels Bohr Institute

GSSI Seminar, February 20, 2019, L'Aquila

Multi-Messenger Astronomy

- Cosmic ray (CR) acceleration in the aftermath of cataclysmic events, sometimes seen in gravitational waves.
- Inelastic collisions with radiation or gas produce γ-rays and neutrinos, e.g.

 $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$

$$\pi^+
ightarrow \mu^+ +
u_\mu
ightarrow e^+ +
u_e + \overline{
u}_\mu +
u_\mu$$

- Unique aspects of neutrino messengers:
 - identify cosmic ray sources
 - qualifies γ -ray emission
 - *covers blind spot* of astronomy to the very-high-energy Universe



High-Energy Neutrino Detection

- High energy neutrino collisions with nuclei via deep-inelastic charged and neutral current interactions.
- Secondary charged particles can be detected by their optical Cherenkov radiation in transparent media.

back-of-the-envelope
$$(E_{\nu} \sim 1 \text{PeV} = 10^{15} \text{ eV})$$
:
• flux of neutrinos : $\frac{d^2 N_{\nu}}{dt \, dA} \sim \frac{1}{\text{cm}^2 \times 10^5 \text{yr}}$
• cross section : $\sigma_{\nu N} \sim 10^{-8} \sigma_{pp} \sim 10^{-33} \text{cm}^2$
• targets: $N_N \sim N_A \times V/\text{cm}^3$
rate of events :
 $\dot{N}_{\nu} \sim N_N \times \sigma_{\nu N} \times \frac{d^2 N_{\nu}}{dt \, dA} \sim \frac{1}{\text{year}} \times \frac{V}{1 \text{km}^3}$

Optical Cherenkov Observatories



Markus Ahlers (NBI)

Neutrino Sources in Light of Recent IceCube Results

February 20, 2019



- Giga-ton **Cherenkov telescope** at the South Pole
- 60 digital **optical modules** (DOMs) per string
- **78 IceCube strings** 125 m apart on triangular grid
- 8 DeepCore strings DOMs in particularly clear ice
- 81 IceTop stations two tanks per station, two DOMs per tank
- 7 year construction phase (2004-2011)
- price tag: €0.25 per ton



Area overview



IceCube Lab



Drilling with new IceTop tanks

Markus Ahlers (NBI)

Neutrino Sources in Light of Recent IceCube Results



Firn & Ice Drilling



String & Optical Module

Methods of Neutrino Detection I



Selecting up-going muon tracks reduces atmospheric muon background:



Methods of Neutrino Detection II

- Outer layer of optical modules can be used as a veto region (gray area):
- X Atmospheric muons pass through veto from above.
- Atmospheric neutrinos are produced in coincidence with atmospheric muons.
- Cosmic neutrino events can start inside the fiducial volume.
- → High-Energy Starting Event (HESE) analysis



2013: A Milestone for Neutrino Astronomy

First observation of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos by IceCube!



"cascade event" (from all flavours)



["Breakthrough of the Year" (Physics World), Science 2013] (time-dependent neutrino signal: early to late light detection)

Cosmic TeV-PeV Neutrinos

• High-Energy Starting Events (HESE) (7yrs):

- bright events ($E_{
 m th}\gtrsim 30{
 m TeV}$) starting inside IceCube
- efficient removal of atmospheric backgrounds by veto layer

• Up-going muon-neutrino tracks (8yrs):

- large effective volume due to ranging in tracks
- · efficient removal of atmospheric muon backgrounds by Earth-absorption



[Astrophys.J. 833 (2016); update ICRC 2017]



Multi-Messenger Interfaces

- High-Energy Starting Events (HESE) (7yrs):
 - bright events ($E_{\rm th}\gtrsim 30{\rm TeV}$) starting inside IceCube
 - efficient removal of atmospheric backgrounds by veto layer
- Up-going muon-neutrino tracks (8yrs):
 - large effective volume due to ranging in tracks
 - · efficient removal of atmospheric muon backgrounds by Earth-absorption



[Science 342 (2013); work in progress]

[Astrophys.J. 833 (2016); update ICRC 2017]

Ultra-Long Baseline Oscillations

• Limited energy resolution of detectors and large distance to neutrino source:

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \Re(U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*}) \underbrace{\sin^{2} \Delta_{ij}}_{\to 1/2} + 2 \sum_{i>j} \Im(U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*}) \underbrace{\sin 2\Delta_{ij}}_{\to 0}$$

→ oscillation-averaged probability:

$$P_{\nu_{lpha} o
u_{eta}} \simeq \sum_{i} |U_{lpha i}|^2 |U_{eta i}|^2$$

• initial composition: $v_e : v_\mu : v_\tau$ pion & muon decay: 1:2:0muon-damped decay: 0:1:0neutron decay: 1:0:0



Ultra-Long Baseline Oscillations

• Limited energy resolution of detectors and large distance to neutrino source:

$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4 \sum_{i>j} \Re(U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*}) \underbrace{\sin^{2} \Delta_{ij}}_{\rightarrow 1/2} + 2 \sum_{i>j} \Im(U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*}) \underbrace{\sin 2\Delta_{ij}}_{\rightarrow 0}$$

oscillation-averaged probability:
$$P_{\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow \nu_{\beta}} \simeq \sum_{i} |U_{\alpha i}|^{2} |U_{\beta i}|^{2}$$

initial composition: $\nu_{e} : \nu_{\mu} : \nu_{\tau}$
pion & muon decay: $1 : 2 : 0$
muon-damped decay: $0 : 1 : 0$
neutron decay: $1 : 0 : 0$

→

.

 $\nu_{\rm e}$

HESE 7-year Update (preliminary)



Double cascade event candidate. (Tau neutrino candidate)

The reconstructed double cascade positions are indicated as grey circles, the direction indicated with a grey arrow. The size of the circles illustrates the relative deposited energy of the two cascades.

HESE 7-year Update (preliminary)



Measured flavor composition of IceCube HESE events and sensitivity at the best fit spectrum.

The Cosmic "Beam"



 $1 \, \text{PeV}$ neutrino $\leftrightarrow 20\text{--}30 \, \text{PeV}$ cosmic ray nucleon

Arrival Directions of Cosmic Neutrinos



No significant correlation of diffuse flux with known sources, except TXS 0506+056.

Gamma-Ray Sky in 1967



First γ -ray map with the Orbiting Solar Observatory (OSO-3)

Gamma-Ray Sky in 2017



Recent γ -ray map collected by the Fermi satellite.



Galactic diffuse emission is subdominant compared to isotropic flux.

Neutrino Point-Source Limits



Diffuse vs. Point-Source



- Low neutrino absorption in the Universe allows to observe distant sources.
- Quasi-diffuse flux observed by IceCube is composed of many individual sources.
 - Can they be identified?

lower density (ρ) \downarrow higher luminosity (L) \downarrow brighter sources (ϕ)

Constraints from Point-Source Limits



[effective local density from Murase & Waxman'16; local rate density from Murase & Takami'08]

• Left: time-integrated discovery potential (Nothern Hemisphere; 10 years)

$$E^2 \phi_{
u_\mu + ar{
u}_\mu} \simeq 10^{-12} \text{ TeV/cm}^2/\text{s}$$

• Right: time-dependent discovery potential (Nothern Hemisphere; 10 years)

$$E^2 F_{\nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_\mu} \simeq 0.1 \text{ GeV/cm}^2$$

Realtime Alerts



- · 50% astrophysical neutrino fraction
- angular resolution 0.5-2deg
- high-energy starting tracks (>60TeV)
 - 4.8 alerts/year (1.1 signal/year)
- through-going muons (>100TeV)
 - 4-5 alerts/year (2.5-4 signal/year)

[Blaufuss et al., Proceedings of ICRC 2017]





- time to issue alert: 5s
- median angular resolution 0.5deg
- neutrino doublets
 - 0.04 alerts/year
- neutrinos from local galaxies (>1TeV)
 - 10 alerts/year
- high-energy neutrinos (>5TeV)
 - 20 alerts/year
- very high-energy neutrinos (>30TeV)
 - 3-4 alerts/year

[Dornic et al., Proceedings of ICRC 2017]

IceCube Alert IC-170922A



Up-going muon track (5.7° below horizon) observed on September 22, 2017. The best-fit neutrino energy for an E^{-2} -spectrum is 311 TeV.

First Multi-Messenger Blazar: TXS 0506+056



Multimessenger observations of a flaring blazar coincident with high-energy neutrino IceCube-170922A

The IeeCube Collaboration, *Fermi*-LAT, MAGIC, *AGILE*, ASAS-SN, HAWC, H.E.S.S., *INTEGRAL*, Kanata, Kiso, Kapteyn, Liverpool Telescope, Subaru, *Swift/NuSTAR*, VERITAS, and VLA/17B-403 teams⁺↑

[Science 361 (2018) no.6398, eaat1378]

Neutrino emission from the direction of the blazar TXS 0506+056 prior to the IceCube-170922A alert

IceCube Collaboration*†

[Science 361 (2018) no.6398, 147-151]

Multi-Messenger Observations of TXS 0506+056



- Coincident with Fermi flare; chance correlation can be rejected at the 3σ-level.
- TXS 0506+056 is among the 3% brightest Fermi-LAT blazars.
- One of the most luminous BL Lacs ($2.8 \times 10^{46} \text{ erg/s}$).

Blazars as Neutrino Factories

- **Blazars:** active galaxies powered by accretion onto a supermassive black hole expel relativistic jets pointing into our line of sight.
- Cosmic ray acceleration and $p\gamma$ interaction in blazar zone leads to neutrino beam. [Stecker et al.'91] [Mannheim'96: Halzen & Zas'97]
- Non-power-law neutrino spectra due to diverse photon spectra.
- Typically, deficit of sub-PeV and excess of EeV neutrinos.



[credit: DESY, Zeuthen]

slide 32

Blazars as Neutrino Factories

- **Blazars:** active galaxies powered by accretion onto a supermassive black hole expel relativistic jets pointing into our line of sight.
- Cosmic ray acceleration and pγ interaction in blazar zone leads to neutrino beam. [Stecker *et al.*'91] [Mannheim'96; Halzen & Zas'97]
- Non-power-law neutrino spectra due to diverse photon spectra.
- Typically, deficit of sub-PeV and excess of EeV neutrinos.



[Dermer, Murase & Inoue'14]

Neutrino Flux Predictions



[Keivani et al., arXiv:1807.04537]

[Gao et al., arXiv:1807.04275]

slide 34

• Photon SED can be modelled with lepto-hadronic or proton-synchrotron models.

[see also Cerruti *et al.* arXiv:1807.04335; Zhang, Fang & Li, arXiv:1807.11069] [Gokus *et al.* arXiv:1808.05540; Sahakyan, arXiv:1807.05651]

 Neutrino flux of 2017 flare limited to less than one event by theoretically feasible proton luminosity and X-ray data. [Murase, Oikonomou & Petropoulou, arXiv:1807.04748]



Source Lottery (Eddington Bias)

• Median expected number of events from BL Lac observed by one event:

0.006 - 0.03

Neutrino Outburst in 2014/15



- Previous 3.5σ neutrino flare (13 ± 5 events) between Sept. 2014 and March 2015.
- ! Second-warmest TS in Northern sky in IC86-II-IV time-dependent analysis
- Implies neutrino luminosity of $1.2 imes 10^{47}$ erg/s over 158 days ($\simeq 4 imes L_{
 m Fermi}$).
- No flaring state in Fermi-LAT, but maybe hard spectrum?

[Padovani et al., arXiv:1807.04461; IceCube'19]

• About 1000 times brighter than 2017 outburst!
Limits on Diffuse Blazar Flux



 Blazar stacking limits derived from Fermi-LAT AGN catalogue (2LAC). [Astrophys.J. 835 (2017) no.1, 45]

- Upper limit on the diffuse flux at the level of 30% assuming all blazar classes contribute.
- Energy of IC-170922A in the region of strongest differential upper limit.

Multi-Messenger Interfaces



A interactions leads to the emission of neutrinos (dashed blue) and gamma-rays (solid blue), respectively.

Hadronic Gamma-Ray Emission

 Inelastic collisions of cosmic rays (CR) with radiation or gas produce γ-rays and neutrinos via pion decay:

 $\begin{aligned} \pi^0 &\to \gamma + \gamma \\ \pi^+ &\to \mu^+ + \nu_\mu \to e^+ + \nu_e + \overline{\nu}_\mu + \nu_\mu \end{aligned}$

- relative production rates comparable
- X TeV γ-rays scatter in cosmic microwave background (CMB) and initiate electromagnetic cascades:

$$\gamma + \gamma_{\text{CMB}} \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$$

 $e^{\pm} + \gamma_{\text{CMB}} \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \gamma$



Hadronic Gamma-Ray Emission

 Inelastic collisions of cosmic rays (CR) with radiation or gas produce γ-rays and neutrinos via pion decay:

$$\pi^0 o \gamma + \gamma$$

 $\pi^+ o \mu^+ + \nu_\mu o e^+ + \nu_e + \overline{\nu}_\mu + \nu_\mu$

- relative production rates comparable
- X TeV γ-rays scatter in cosmic microwave background (CMB) and initiate electromagnetic cascades:

$$\gamma + \gamma_{\text{CMB}} \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$$

 $e^{\pm} + \gamma_{\text{CMB}} \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \gamma$



Isotropic Diffuse Gamma-Ray Background (IGRB)

- Gamma-ray emission from electromagnetic cascades ends up in the sub-TeV range observed with Fermi satellite.
- Cosmic ray spectral index strongly constrained by the isotropic diffuse gamma-ray background (IGRB)

[Murase, MA & Lacki'13]

$$\Gamma \lesssim 2.15-2.2$$

X IceCube best-fit: [IceCube'15]

$$\Gamma\simeq 2.4-2.6$$



[Murase, MA & Lacki'14; Tamborra, Ando & Murase'14] [Ando, Tamborra & Zandanel'15] [Bechtol, MA, Ajello, Di Mauro & Vandenbroucke'15] [Palladino, Fedynitch, Rasmussen & Taylor'19]

Isotropic Diffuse Gamma-Ray Background (IGRB)

- Gamma-ray emission from electromagnetic cascades ends up in the sub-TeV range observed with Fermi satellite.
- Cosmic ray spectral index strongly constrained by the isotropic diffuse gamma-ray background (IGRB)

[Murase, MA & Lacki'13]

$$\Gamma \lesssim 2.15-2.2$$

X IceCube best-fit: [IceCube'15]

$$\Gamma\simeq 2.4-2.6$$



[Murase, MA & Lacki'14; Tamborra, Ando & Murase'14] [Ando, Tamborra & Zandanel'15] [Bechtol, MA, Ajello, Di Mauro & Vandenbroucke'15] [Palladino, Fedynitch, Rasmussen & Taylor'19]

Isotropic Diffuse Gamma-Ray Background (IGRB)

IGRB composition with MW SF model



[Di Mauro & Donato'15]

- IGRB : extragalactic γ -ray background consisting of unidentified point-like sources and diffuse contributions
- extrapolation of identified (bright) γ -ray sources allows to model the emission
- large contribution ($\gtrsim 50\%)$ from unidentified blazars (BL Lac) at $E > 50~{
 m GeV}$

Multi-Messenger Interfaces



B/C The most energetic cosmic rays (solid green) imply a maximal flux (calorimetric limit) of neutrinos from the same sources (green dashed) and cosmogenic neutrinos (dotted line).

UHE CR association?

• UHE CR proton emission rate density:

[e.g. MA & Halzen'12]

$$[E_p^2 Q_p(E_p)]_{10^{19.5} \mathrm{eV}} \simeq 8 \times 10^{43} \, \mathrm{erg} \, \mathrm{Mpc}^{-3} \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$$

• corresponding per flavor neutrino flux ($\xi_z \simeq 0.5 - 2.4$ and $K_\pi \simeq 1 - 2$):

$$E_{\nu}^{2}\phi_{\nu}(E_{\nu}) \simeq f_{\pi} \underbrace{\frac{\xi_{z}K_{\pi}}{1+K_{\pi}}}_{\mathcal{O}(1)} \underbrace{1.5 \times 10^{-8} \,\text{GeV} \,\text{cm}^{-2} \,\text{s}^{-1} \,\text{sr}}_{\sim \text{ lceCube diffuse}}$$

• Waxman-Bahcall bound: $f_{\pi} \leq 1$

[Waxman & Bahcall'98]

• similar UHE nucleon emission rate density (local minimum at $\Gamma \simeq 2.04$) [Auger'16]

$$[E_N^2 Q_N(E_N)]_{10^{19.5} \text{eV}} \simeq 2.2 \times 10^{43} \, \text{erg} \, \text{Mpc}^{-3} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$$

- **X** But, how to reach $E_{\rm max} \simeq 10^{20}$ eV in environments of high energy loss ($f_{\pi} \simeq 1$)?
- → two-zone models: acceleration + CR "calorimeter"?
 - starburst galaxies [Loeb & Waxman'06]
 galaxy clusters [Berezinsky, Blasi & Ptuskin'96; Beacom & Murase'13]

Outlook: IceCube Upgrade

- 7 new strings in the DeepCore region (~20m inter-string spacing) with improved optical modules.
- New calibration devices, incorporating lessons from a decade of IceCube calibration efforts.
- **Precision measurement** of atmospheric neutrino oscillation.
- Midscale NSF project with an estimated total cost of \$23M.
- · deployment in 2022/23
- October 1, 2018: first \$1M increment
- additional \$9M in capital equipment alone from partners



Vision: IceCube-Gen2

- · Multi-component facility (low- and high-energy & multi-messenger).
- In-ice high-energy Cherenkov array with 6-10 km³ volume.
- **Under investigation:** Surface arrays for in-ice radio Askaryan and cosmic ray veto (air Cherenkov and/or scintillator panels).



[[]Aartsen et al., Proceedings of ICRC 2017]

Summary

- IceCube has identified a **diffuse flux of astrophysical neutrinos** in the TeV-PeV energy range of **unknown origin**.
- Galactic and Extragalactic Sources are candidate sources, but **absence of anisotropies** favours the latter.
- No compelling scenario for the TeV-PeV energy range.
- High intensity of the emission is comparable to that of ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays and γ -ray backgrounds.
- → Excellent conditions for **multi-messenger studies**:
 - Large neutrino flux in the $1-10~{\rm TeV}$ range is challenged by constraints set by the extragalactic $\gamma\text{-ray background}$ observed by Fermi.
 - New candidate sources **TXS** 0506+056 for neutrino/ γ -ray emission.
 - Saturation of calorimetric bounds of **UHE CR sources** might indicate common origin.

Appendix

Cosmic Ray Interactions



Neutrinos from Pion Decay

• Neutrinos from pion and muon decay:

$$\pi^+ \to \mu^+ + \nu_{\mu}$$
$$\mu^+ \to e^+ + \nu_e + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$$

• average energy fraction from relativistic pions ($r_{\pi} \equiv m_{\mu}^2/m_{\pi}^2 \simeq 0.57$):

$$\langle x
angle_{\pi^+ o
u_{\mu}} = rac{1 - r_{\pi}}{2} \simeq 21\%$$

 $\langle x
angle_{\pi^+ o
u_{\mu}} = rac{3 + 4r_{\pi}}{20} \simeq 26\%$
 $\langle x
angle_{\pi^+ o
u_e} = rac{2 + r_{\pi}}{10} \simeq 26\%$



• In practice, we often use the approximation:

$$\langle x
angle_{
u_x} \simeq \langle x
angle_{
u_x} \simeq rac{1}{4}$$
 & $\kappa_\pi \simeq rac{1}{5}$ $ightarrow$ $rac{\langle E_{
u}
angle}{E_N} \simeq rac{1}{20}$

Galactic Source Candidates

diffuse Galactic γ -ray emission • [MA & Murase'13; Joshi J C, Winter W and Gupta'13] [Kachelriess and Ostapchenko'14; Neronov, Semikoz & Tchernin'13; Neronov & Semikoz'14,'16] [Guo, Hu & Tian'14; Gaggero, Grasso, Marinelli, Urbano & Valli'15; Neronov, Kachelriess & Semikoz'18] unidentified Galactic γ -ray emission [Fox, Kashiyama & Meszaros'13] [Gonzalez-Garcia, Halzen & Niro'14] Fermi Bubbles [MA & Murase'13: Razzague'13] [Lunardini, Razzague, Theodoseau & Yang'13; Lunardini, Razzague & Yang'15] supernova remnants [Mandelartz & Tjus'14] pulsars ۲ [Padovani & Resconi'14] microquasars . [Anchordogui, Goldberg, Paul, da Silva & Vlcek'14] Sagitarius A* . [Bai, Barger, Barger, Lu, Peterson & Salvado'14; Fujita, Kimura & Murase'15,'16] Galactic Halo . [Taylor, Gabici & Aharonian'14] heavy dark matter decay • [Feldstein, Kusenko, Matsumoto & Yanagida'13] [Esmaili & Serpico '13; Bai, Lu & Salvado'13; Cherry, Friedland & Shoemaker'14] [Murase, Laha, Ando, MA'15; Boucenna et al.'15; Chianese, Miele, Morisi & Vitagliano'16]

Pion Production Efficiency

- pion production depend on target opacity $au = \ell \sigma n$
- "bolometric" pion production efficiency (inelasticity *κ*):

$$f_{\pi} = 1 - \exp(-\kappa\tau)$$

- inelasticity per pion : $\kappa_\pi = \kappa/\left< N_{\sf all} \; _\pi \right> \simeq 0.17 0.2$
- "bolometric" relation of the production rates Q:

$$E_{\pi}^{2}Q_{\pi^{\pm}}(E_{\pi}) \simeq \frac{\langle N_{\pi^{+}} \rangle + \langle N_{\pi^{-}} \rangle}{\langle N_{\pi^{0}} \rangle + \langle N_{\pi^{+}} \rangle + \langle N_{\pi^{-}} \rangle} \left[f_{\pi}E_{N}^{2}Q_{N}(E_{N}) \right]_{E_{N} = E_{\pi}/\kappa_{\pi}}$$

charged-to-neutral pion ratio:

$$K_{\pi} \equiv rac{\langle N_{\pi^+}
angle + \langle N_{\pi^-}
angle}{\langle N_{\pi^0}
angle} \simeq egin{cases} 2 & pp \ 1 & p\gamma \end{cases}$$

• or in more compact form with K_{π} :

$$E_{\pi}^2 Q_{\pi^{\pm}}(E_{\pi}) \simeq f_{\pi} \frac{K_{\pi}}{1+K_{\pi}} \left[E_N^2 Q_N(E_N) \right]_{E_N = E_{\pi}/\kappa_{\pi}}$$

Neutrino and Gamma-Ray Emission

neutrino emission from pion decay

$$\frac{1}{3}\sum_{\alpha} E_{\nu} Q_{\nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu}) \simeq \left[E_{\pi} Q_{\pi^{\pm}}(E_{\pi}) \right]_{E_{\pi} \simeq 4E_{\nu}} \simeq \frac{1}{4} f_{\pi} \frac{K_{\pi}}{1+K_{\pi}} \left[E_{N}^{2} Q_{N}(E_{N}) \right]_{E_{N} = 4E_{\nu}/\kappa_{\pi}}$$

- neutrino and γ -ray emission are related as

$$\frac{1}{3}\sum_{\alpha} E_{\nu} Q_{\nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu}) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle N_{\pi^+} \rangle + \langle N_{\pi^-} \rangle}{\langle N_{\pi^0} \rangle} \left[E_{\gamma} Q_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) \right]_{E_{\gamma} = 2E_{\nu}}$$

• again, a more compact form with K_{π} :

$$\frac{1}{3}\sum_{\alpha}E_{\nu}^{2}Q_{\nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu})\simeq\frac{K_{\pi}}{4}\left[E_{\gamma}^{2}Q_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma})\right]_{E_{\gamma}=2E_{\nu}}$$

• γ -ray emission is attenuated in sources and, in particular, in the extragalactic radiation background

Non-Anthropogenic Neutrino Fluxes



Non-Anthropogenic Neutrino Fluxes



Cosmogenic ("GZK") Neutrinos

• Observation of UHE CRs and extragalactic radiation backgrounds "guarantee" a flux of high-energy neutrinos, in particular via resonant production in CMB.

[Berezinsky & Zatsepin'69]

- "Guaranteed", but with many model uncertainties and constraints:
 - (low cross-over) proton models + CMB (+ EBL)

[Berezinsky & Zatsepin'69; Yoshida & Teshima'93; Protheroe & Johnson'96; Engel, Seckel & Stanev'01; Fodor, Katz, Ringwald &Tu'03; Barger, Huber & Marfatia'06; Yuksel & Kistler'07; Takami, Murase, Nagataki & Sato'09, MA, Anchordoqui & Sarkar'09, Heinz, Boncioli, Bustamante & Winter'15]

+ mixed compositions

[Hooper, Taylor & Sarkar'05; Ave, Busca, Olinto, Watson & Yamamoto'05; Allard, Ave, Busca, Malkan, Olinto, Parizot, Stecker & Yamamoto'06; Anchordoqui, Goldberg, Hooper, Sarkar & Taylor'07; Kotera, Allard & Olinto'10; Decerprit & Allard'11; MA & Halzen'12]

+ extragalactic γ-ray background limits

[Berezinsky & Smirnov'75; Mannheim, Protheroe & Rachen'01; Keshet, Waxman, & Loeb'03; Berezinsky, Gazizov, Kachelriess & Ostapchenko'10; MA, Anchordoqui, Gonzalez–Garcia, Halzen & Sarkar'10; MA & Salvado'11; Gelmini, Kalashev & Semikoz'12]

Limits on Cosmogenic Neutrinos



[Phys.Rev.Lett. 117 (2016) 241101]

- Upper limits on cosmogenic (top left) and astrophysical (bottom left) neutrino emission models.
- Differential upper limits (right) in comparison with Auger and ANITA.
- > Proton-dominated cosmogenic neutrino models are disfavoured.

Starburst Galaxies

- Increased star formation enhances cosmic ray production.
- Dense environment and strong magnetic fields enhance CR containment and interaction.
- Expect spectral break at (0.1-1) PeV from CR leakage ("CR knee").
- Plot shows muon neutrinos on production (3/2 of total neutrino flux).



TeV Starburst Galaxies

Messier 82 ($\delta \simeq 69^{\circ}$)



NGC 253 (
$$\delta \simeq -25^\circ$$
)



$$E^{2}\phi_{\gamma}(E) \simeq 3.3 \times 10^{-13} \left(\frac{E}{\text{TeV}}\right)^{-0.5} \frac{\text{TeV}}{\text{cm}^{2}\text{s}}$$
$$E^{2}\phi_{\nu}(E) \lesssim 1.09 \times 10^{-12} \frac{\text{TeV}}{\text{cm}^{2}\text{s}}$$

 $E^2\phi_{\gamma}(E)\simeq 9.6\times 10^{-13}\left(\frac{E}{\mathrm{TeV}}\right)^{-0.14}\frac{\mathrm{TeV}}{\mathrm{cm}^2\mathrm{s}}$

no neutrino limit

[IceCube 7yr $\nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$]

expected from CR-gas interactions:
$$E^2_
u \phi_{
u_\mu}(E_
u) \simeq rac{1}{2} E^2_\gamma \phi_\gamma(E_\gamma)$$

Tidal Disruption Events

- Stars torn apart by tidal forces in the vicinity of a supermassive black hole can launch jet-like outflows.
- ➔ good candidate sources of UHE CRs

- [Farrar & Gruzinov'09; Farrar & Piran'14]
- associate neutrino production via $p\gamma$ interactions:

[Wang, Liu, Dai & Cheng'11; Senno, Murase & Més'aros'17] [Guépin, Kotera, Barausse, Fang & Murase'17; Biehl, Boncioli, Lunardini & Winter'17]



[e.g. Biehl, Boncioli, Lunardini & Winter'17]

Gamma-Ray Bursts

- Neutrino production at various stages of a gamma-ray burst (GRB).
 - precursor pp and pγ interactions in stellar envelope; also possible for "failed" GRBs [Razzaque,Meszaros&Waxman'03]
 - → **burst** $p\gamma$ interactions in internal shocks
 - → afterglow $p\gamma$ interactions in reverse external shocks



[Waxman&Bahcall'00;Murase&Nagataki'06;Murase'07]

[Waxman&Bahcall'97]

[Meszaros'01]

Gamma-Ray Bursts

- strong limits on neutrino emission associated with "fireball" model [Abbasi et al.'12]
- → PeV neutrino flux exceeds GRB limit by one order of magnitude.



Low-Luminosity Gamma-ray Bursts

• *loop-hole:* undetected low-luminosity γ -ray bursts (GRB)

[Murase & Ioka'13; Senno, Murase & Mészáros'16; Boncioli, Biehl & Winter'18]

• *claim:* distinct population of LL-GRB more abundant in the local ($z \ll 1$) Universe



[Liang, Zhang, Virgili & Dai'06]

Power-Law Fits

• power-law fit (per flavour):

$$\phi(E) = \frac{\phi_{\rm astro} \times 10^{-8}}{\rm GeV\,cm^2\,s\,sr} \bigg[\frac{E}{100\,{\rm TeV}} \bigg]^{-\gamma_{\rm astro}}$$

• HESE (6yr) fit range:

 $60 \,\mathrm{TeV} \le E \le 3 \,\mathrm{PeV}$

• up-going $\nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ (8yr) fit range:

 $119\,{\rm TeV} \le E \le 4.8\,{\rm PeV}$

• Hard spectrum of 2-component HESE fit consistent with $\nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ spectrum within 68% C.L.!



Model Variations

- ✗ BL Lacs less favorable neutrino emitters due to weak external radiation.
- * Cosmic ray interaction with external photons from sheath?

[Ansoldi et al., arXiv:1807.04300]

* Thick disks from radiatively inefficient accretion flow?

[Righi, Tavecchio & Inoue, arXiv:1807.10506]

Clouds or stars entering the jet? [see also Liu et al., arXiv:1807.05113] [Wang et al., arXiv:1809.00601]





More Neutrino Flares?

- We need more observations like TXS to identify the emission process and to establish blazars as neutrino emitters.
- Maybe we have already witnessed these sources:



Extragalactic Source Candidates

- association with sources of UHE CRs [Kistler, Stanev & Yuksel'13] [Katz, Waxman, Thompson & Loeb'13; Fang, Fujii, Linden & Olinto'14;Moharana & Razzaque'15]
- association with diffuse γ-ray background
 [Murase, MA & Lacki'13]
 [Chang & Wang'14; Ando, Tamborra & Zandanel'15]
- active galactic nuclei (AGN) [Stecker'13;Kalashev, Kusenko & Essey'13] [Murase, Inoue & Dermer'14; Kimura, Murase & Toma'14; Kalashev, Semikoz & Tkachev'14] [Padovani & Resconi'14; Petropoulou *et al.*'15; Padovani *et al.*'16; Kadler *et al.*'16; Wang & Loeb'16]
- gamma-ray bursts (GRB) [Murase & loka'13; Dado & Dar'14; Tamborra & Ando'15] [Senno, Murase & Meszaros'16; Denton & Tamborra'18; Boncioli, Biehl & Winter'18]
- galaxies with intense star-formation (e.g. starbursts)
 [He, Wang, Fan, Liu & Wei'13; Yoast-Hull, Gallagher, Zweibel & Everett'13; Murase, MA & Lacki'13]
 [Anchordoqui, Paul, da Silva, Torres& Vlcek'14; Tamborra, Ando & Murase'14; Chang & Wang'14]
 [Liu, Wang, Inoue, Crocker & Aharonian'14; Senno, Meszaros, Murase, Baerwald & Rees'15]
 [Chakraborty & Izaguirre'15; Emig, Lunardini & Windhorst'15; Bechtol et al.'15]
- galaxy clusters/groups [Murase, MA & Lacki'13; Zandanel, Tamborra, Gabici & Ando'14]
- tidal disruption events (TDE) [Wang, Liu, Dai & Cheng'11; Senno, Murase & Més'aros'17] [Guépin, Kotera, Barausse, Fang & Murase'17; Biehl, Boncioli, Lunardini & Winter'17]

Non-Blazar Limits on Gamma-Ray Background

• Non-blazar contribution above 50 GeV: [based on Fermi'15]

 $14^{+14}_{-14}\%$ of EGB

- **×** strong tension with IceCube observation ($E_{\nu} \lesssim 100 \text{ TeV}$)
- Limits apply to generic cosmic ray calorimeters.
- Crucial assumption: free escape of γ-rays from source environment.



[Bechtol, MA, Ajello, Di Mauro & Vandenbroucke'15]

Diffuse vs. Point-Source

• (quasi-)diffuse flux approximated by effective luminosity and comoving density:

$$F_{\rm diff} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dz \frac{d\mathcal{V}_C}{dz} \int dL_\nu \frac{d\rho}{dL_\nu} \frac{L_\nu}{4\pi d_L^2(z)} \simeq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dz \frac{d\mathcal{V}_C}{dz} \rho_{\rm eff}(z) \underbrace{\frac{L_{\rm eff}}{4\pi d_L^2(z)}}_{\text{point-source flux}}$$

- Effective density accounts for model-dependent neutrino-photon luminosity relation. [Murase & Waxman'16]
- Redshift distribution of brightest (closest) source:

[MA& Halzen'14]

$$p(z) \simeq \frac{d\mathcal{V}_C}{dz} \rho_{\text{eff}}(z) \exp\left(-\int_0^z dz' \frac{d\mathcal{V}_C}{dz} \rho_{\text{eff}}(z')\right)$$

→ Comparison with IceCube's point-source discovery potential:

$$\langle F_{\rm PS}
angle \equiv \int \mathrm{d}z \, p(z) rac{L_{\rm eff}}{4\pi d_L^2(z)} \leq F_{5\sigma}$$

Non-Blazar Limits on Gamma-Ray Background

- Photon fluctuation analyses of Fermi data allow to constrain the source count distribution of blazars **below** the source detection threshold.
- inferred blazar contribution above 50 GeV:
 - Fermi Collaboration'15:

 $86^{+16}_{-14}\%$ of EGB

• Lisanti *et al.*'16:

 $68^{+9}_{-8}(\pm 10)_{sys}\%$ of EGB

• Zechlin et al.'16

$$81^{+52}_{-19}\%$$
 of EGB



[Fermi'15]

Fermi Bounds for $p\gamma$ Sources

- Fermi constraints less severe for pγ scenarios:
- 1 **no power-law extrapolation** to Fermi energy range
- 2 high pion production efficiency implies strong γ -absorption in sources
- source candidates:
 - AGN cores [Stecker'91;'13] [Kimura, Murase & Toma'14]
 choked GRB jets

[Mészáros & Waxman'01] [Senno, Murase & Mészáros'16]



[[]Murase, Guetta & MA'15]
Corresponding Opacities

required cosmic ray energy:

 $E_{CR}\sim 20 E_{\nu}$

• required target photon energy:

$$arepsilon_t \sim 200 \ {
m keV} igg({\Gamma \over 10} igg)^2 igg({E_
u \over 3 \ {
m TeV}} igg)^{-1} \, .$$

- opacity relation:
 - $\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) \sim 1000 f_{p\gamma}(E_p)$
- strong internal γ-absorption:

$$E_{\gamma} \gtrsim 100 \,\mathrm{MeV} igg(rac{E_{
u}}{3 \,\mathrm{TeV}} igg)$$

