Updates on the Hotspot and the Perseus-Pisces supercluster Excess Observed by the Telescope Array Experiment

Jihyun Kim¹, Dmitri Ivanov¹, Kazumasa Kawata², Hiroyuki Sagawa², and Gordon Thomson¹ for the Telescope Array Collaboration

¹University of Utah, ²University of Tokyo





UHECR2022 @ L'Aquila, Italy

Resolution and Sensitivity by Monte Carlo Simulation



- 34% energy. 2.4° angular, $10^{18.0}\,\text{eV} \leq \text{E} < 10^{18.5}\,\text{eV}$

Outline

- Telescope Array experiment
- Update on the hotspot
 - Results using 14 years of data
 - Independent dataset analysis
 - Chance probability estimation
- Update on the Perseus-Pisces SuperCluster (PPSC) excess
 - Results using 14 years of data
 - Chance probability estimation



USA



Japan



Korea

Telescope Array Collaboration

R.U. ABBASI¹ M. ABE² T. ABU-ZAYYAD,¹ M. ALLEN,¹ R. AZUMA,³ E. BARCIKOWSKI,¹ J.W. BELZ,¹ D.R. BERCMAN,¹ S.A. BLAKE¹ R. CADY,¹ B.G. CHEON,⁴ J. CHRA,⁵ M. CHRKAWA,⁶ A. DI MATED,^{7,*} T. FUJL⁸ K. FUJLUS,¹ R. FUJIWARA,¹⁰ M. FUKUSHIMA,^{9,11} G. FURLICH,¹ W. HANLON,¹ M. HAYASHI,¹⁰ N. HAYASHIM,¹¹ S. HBBNO¹³ R. HIDZICHI,⁹ K. HONDA,¹⁴ D. KEPAL¹⁵ T. INADOM,¹⁶ N. INOUZ,² I. ISHI,¹⁶ N. INOUZ,²¹ S. HENO,¹³ R. HIDZICHI,⁹ K. HONDA,¹⁴ D. KEPAL¹⁵ T. INADOM,¹⁶ N. NOUZ,²² T. ISHI,¹⁶ N. NOUZ,²² T. ISHI,¹⁶ M. KUZZHISOV,²⁰ T.Y. J. KWARA,¹⁰ R. KUNNA,^{9,1} H. KMA,¹⁰ I.H. KM,¹⁰ H.H. KM,¹⁰ H.K. KARHIDA,¹⁰ S. KANAKAM,¹⁰ S. KAWARA,²⁰ K. KWOR,²⁴ K.H. LEE,¹⁸ B. LUBSANDOZHEV,²⁰ J. F. LUNDURT,¹ K. MACHIDA,¹⁴ S. KASHARA,²¹ S. KASAMI,¹⁰ T. MATSUVANA,¹⁰ J.N. MATHEWS,¹ R. MAYTA,¹⁰ M. MINAMINO,¹⁰ K. MUKAI,¹⁴ I. MYERS,¹ S. NAGAZIAKI,⁷⁷ K. NAKAL¹⁰ R. NAKAMURA,¹⁶ T. NAKAMURA,¹⁶ T. NAKAMURA,¹⁶ T. NONAKA,⁹ H. ODA,¹⁰ S. OGLO,^{10,26} M. OHNISHI,⁹ H. OHOKA,⁹ Y. OKUZ²¹ T. OKUMAZ,¹⁰ G. RUBAJ¹⁰ K. SARIDOZ,¹¹ K. SARIDOZHEV,²¹ J. BEMBRYON,^{21,20} J. REMNYORTON,¹ D.OKORI,¹⁰ A. OSHIMA,¹⁰ S. OZAWA,²¹ H. PARE,¹⁵ M.S. SPHIRKOV,^{22,20} J. REMNYORTON,¹ D.C. RODRIGUEZ,¹ G. RUBTSOV,²⁰ D. RYU,³⁰ H. SAGAWA,⁹ R. SAHARA,¹⁰ K. SARTO,⁹ Y. SAITO,¹⁶ N. SAKAKI,⁹ T. SAKO,⁹ N. SAKURAI,¹⁰ K. SANO,²¹ D. ROVA,¹¹ T. SHIBATA,⁹ H. SHIBKOV,^{22,20} J. BENNYORTON,¹ D.C. RODRIGUEZ,¹ G. RUBTSOV,²⁰ D. RYU,³⁰ H. SAGAWA,⁹ R. SAHARA,¹⁰ K. SANTO,⁹ Y. SAITO,¹⁶ N. SAKAKI,⁹ T. SAKO,⁹ N. SAKURAI,¹⁰ K. SANO,¹⁶ L.M. SCOTT,³¹ T. SEKI,¹⁶ K. SEKINO,⁹ D. SHI,¹ H. SAHARA,¹⁰ K. SANTO,⁹ Y. SAITO,¹⁶ N. SAKAKI,⁹ T. SAKO,⁹ N. SAKURAI,¹⁰ K. SANO,¹⁶ L.M. SCOTT,³¹ T. SEKI,¹⁶ K. SEKINO,⁹ D. SHIBAVA,²¹ Z. TOKAHASH,¹⁰ M. TAKAMURA,⁵ M. TAKEDA,⁹ T. TAKEBHA,¹ H. SOKOISKY,¹ P. TOKOV,²⁷ T. TOKAK

¹High Energy Astrophysics Institute and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA ²The Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Saitama University, Saitama, Saitama, Japan ³Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Meguro, Tokyo, Japan ⁴Department of Physics and The Research Institute of Natural Science, Hanyang University, Seongdong-gu, Seoul, Korea ⁵Department of Physics, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, Chiba, Japan ⁶Department of Physics, Kindai University, Higashi Osaka, Osaka, Japan ⁷Service de Physique Théorique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium ⁸The Hakubi Center for Advanced Research and Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwakecho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan ⁹Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan 10 Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, Osaka, Osaka, Japan 11 Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), Todai Institutes for Advanced Study, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan 12 Information Engineering Graduate School of Science and Technology, Shinshu University, Nagano, Nagano, Japan 13 Faculty of Engineering, Kanagawa University, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan ¹⁴Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Medicine and Engineering, University of Yamanashi, Kofu, Yamanashi, Japan ¹⁵Earthquake Research Institute, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, Japan ¹⁶Academic Assembly School of Science and Technology Institute of Engineering, Shinshu University, Nagano, Nagano, Japan 17 Astrophysical Big Bang Laboratory, RIKEN, Wako, Saitama, Japan ¹⁸Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Jang-an-gu, Suwon, Korea 19 Department of Physics, Tokyo City University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, Japan ²⁰Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia ²¹Faculty of Systems Engineering and Science, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan ²²Department of Engineering Science, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka Electro-Communication University, Neyagawa-shi, Osaka, Japan 23 Department of Physics, Chiba University, Chiba, Chiba, Japan ²⁴Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, Korea 25 Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi, Kochi, Japan ²⁶Nambu Yoichiro Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Osaka City University, Osaka, Osaka, Japan 27 Department of Physical Sciences, Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan 28 Advanced Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan 29 Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow M.V. Lomonosov State University, Moscow, Russia ³⁰Department of Physics, School of Natural Sciences, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, UNISE gil, Ulsan, Korea. ³¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University - The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ³²Graduate School of Information Sciences, Hiroshima City University, Hiroshima, Hiroshima, Japan ³³Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan 34National Institute of Radiological Science, Chiba, Chiba, Japan ³⁵CEICO, Institute of Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic ³⁶Department of Physics and Institute for the Early Universe, Ewha Womans University, Seodaaemun-gu, Seoul, Korea 37 Department of Physics, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Ehime, Japan





Belgium



Czech Republic



Slovenia

140 members, 32 institutes, 7 countries

Telescope Array (TA) experiment

• The largest cosmic ray observatory in the northern hemisphere



Hotspot analysis

Excess of events: TA Hotspot TA collab. (2014)



20°-radius oversampling

- 72 events (5-year TA SD data)
- Max local sig.: 5.1 σ

at (146.7°, 43.2°)

Obs. : 19 events

Iso. : 4.49 events

- Post-trial probability:

 $P(S_{MC} > 5.1\sigma) = 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ $\rightarrow 3.4\sigma$

Oversampling searches: Li-Ma analysis

• The statistical significance of the excess of events compared to background events at each grid point is calculated by the Li-Ma method:

$$S_{\rm LM} = \sqrt{2} \left[N_{\rm on} \ln \left(\frac{(1+\alpha)N_{\rm on}}{\alpha(N_{\rm on}+N_{\rm off})} \right) + N_{\rm off} \ln \left(\frac{(1+\alpha)N_{\rm off}}{N_{\rm on}+N_{\rm off}} \right) \right]^{1/2},$$

- $N_{\text{total}} = N_{\text{on}} + N_{\text{off}}$: total observed number of events
- N_{on} : # of events inside the circle, N_{off} : # of events outside the circle

•
$$N_{\rm bg} = \alpha \cdot N_{\rm off}$$

• To determine the exposure ratio of α , we generated 10⁵ events assuming an isotropic flux taking into account the geometrical exposure.

• $\alpha = \frac{N_{\text{sim,on}}}{N_{\text{sim,off}}} = \frac{N_{\text{sim,circle}}}{(N_{\text{sim,total}} - N_{\text{sim,circle}})}$

- Field of view: 90° to -10° in declination, 0° to 360° in right ascension
- Oversampling with **25°** of angular windows

Li-Ma significance map with $\rm E \geq 57~EeV$



- 205 events (14-year TA SD data)
- Max local sig.: **5.1** σ at (144.0°, 40.5°)

Obs. : 44 events Iso. : 16.9 events -160% excess

- Post-trial probability:

 $P(S_{MC} > 5.1\sigma) = 7.4 \times 10^{-4} \rightarrow 3.2\sigma$

Independent dataset analysis



- 72 events (First 5-year)
- **5.0***σ* at (144.0°, 40.5°)
 - Obs. : 22 events
 - Iso. : 5.2 events

- 133 events (Last 9-year)
- **2.5** σ at (144.0°, 40.5°)
 - Obs.: 22 events
 - Iso. : 11.6 events

Time variation of the hotspot



- Black dots: cumulative # of events falling inside the hotspot circle of 25°

Orange x's: cumulative # of
isotropic events inside the hotspot
Blue solid line: estimated event
rate inside the hotspot

The increase rate of the events inside the hotspot circle is consistent with the linear increase within $\sim 1\sigma$. New excess in the direction of the Perseus-Pisces supercluster

New excess in slightly lower energy events JK+, ICRC2021



Li-Ma significance
 map: excess (red) /
 deficit (blue) of events
 compared to isotropy

Black diamond (�):
 the maximum Li-Ma
 significance position

- Equatorial coords. having RA=0 at center

What is behind the new excess?

Sky map with nearby galaxies and clusters of galaxies

3-dimensional density maps



New excess with the Perseus-Pisces supercluster (PPSC)



- Black asterisks (*): the representative elements of the PPSC; Gray dots (·): Galaxies from the 2MASS Redshift Survey catalog (35–100 Mpc); Cyan diamonds (<): the positions of maximum excesses; Blue squares (=): the center of the PPSC.
- It is seen that the excess is coincident with the overall distribution of the PPSC. The angular separations between the positions of the maximum excesses and the center of the PPSC are less than $\sim 10^{\circ}$.

Li-Ma analysis with 20° oversampling with $E \ge 10^{19.4} \text{ eV}$



Li-Ma analysis with 20° oversampling with $E \ge 10^{19.5} \text{ eV}$



Li-Ma analysis with 20° oversampling with $E \ge 10^{19.6} \text{ eV}$



Compare new excess with the PPSC and other major structures



- Choose all the similar major structures to the Perseus-Pisces supercluster in TA's field of view within 150 Mpc.

Virgo cluster (17 Mpc)
PPSC (70 Mpc),
Coma supercluster (90 Mpc)
Leo supercluster (135 Mpc)
Hercules supercluster (135 Mpc)

Chance probability estimation

- To quantify how often this happens by chance, we generate many Monte-Carlo event sets, each containing the same number of events as the data, thrown isotropically according to the acceptance of the TA SD.
- We count as successes the number of sets where the point of maximum Li-Ma significance is at least as significant as in the data, and also occurs at least as close to the PPSC as in the data: ($S_{mc} \ge S_{obs}$) and ($\theta_{mc} \le \theta_{obs}$).
- Chance probability of having equal or higher excess on top of the PPSC / major structures {PPSC, Virgo cluster, Coma SC, Leo SC, Hercules SC}

Energy (eV)	Events	Criteria	PPSC	Major structures
$E \ge 10^{19.4}$	1060	$(S_{mc} \ge 3.8\sigma) \& (\theta_{mc} \le 8.6^{\circ})$	3. 1 <i>σ</i>	2.5σ
$E \ge 10^{19.5}$	685	$(S_{mc} \ge 3.8\sigma) \& (\theta_{mc} \le 7.4^{\circ})$	3.2σ	2.6σ
$E \ge 10^{19.6}$	413	$(S_{mc} \ge 3.5\sigma) \& (\theta_{mc} \le 6.8^{\circ})$	3.0σ	2.4σ

Summary of the Monte-Carlo studies that estimate the chance probability of having an excess

• This result indicates that a cosmic ray source may exist in the direction of PPSC.

Summary

- Intermediate-scale anisotropy studies have been conducted using 14 years of TA SD data.
- We have persistent evidence for **the hotspot** at the highest energies, $E \ge 5.7 \times 10^{19}$ eV, near the Ursa Major group. The global significance of such excess appearing by chance anywhere in TA's field of view is estimated to be **3.2** σ .
- A new excess in slightly lower energy events, $E \ge 10^{19.4}$ eV, in the direction of **the Perseus-Pisces supercluster** has been identified. The local significance of excess is now estimated to be **3.8** σ . The chance probability of having an excess as close to the PPSC as the data is estimated to be **3.2** σ .