
† Target : > $10^{19.5} \mathbf{e V}$, ultrahigh-energy cosmic rays, neutrino and gamma rays
$\star$ Huge target volume $\Rightarrow$ Fluorescence detector array

Fine pixelated camera


Smaller optics and single or few pixels


Too expensive to cover a huge area


Low-cost and simplified telescope












500 stations
$\rightarrow 150,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$


## Scientific goals and characteristics with FAST

- To clarify origins and natures of UHECRs


Dipole as "standard candle"
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$X_{\text {max }}$ at highest

$\uparrow$ Directional anisotropy on spectrum and composition with $10 \times$ (Auger or TAx4) exposure
$\downarrow$ Pros
$\uparrow$ Calorimetric energy determination
$\uparrow$ Mass-composition sensitivity using $\boldsymbol{X}_{\text {max }}$
$\uparrow$ Less dependent on hadronic interaction models

## $\rightarrow$ Cons

$\downarrow$ Low duty cycle, $10-20 \%$
$\uparrow$ Many calibration components(PMT gains, Optics, atmospheric parameters, telescope direction)
$\uparrow$ Understanding directional exposure
$\uparrow$ Calibration source: large-scale dipole anisotropy
-Stand-alone operation required

## Validations of the FAST concept



## FAST@TA observations

$\uparrow$ Remote controlling observation
$\downarrow$ Synchronized operation with external triggers from
Telescope Array fluorescence detector (TA FD)
$\downarrow 80 \%$ FoV of TA FD

TA FD FoV (12 telescopes, $\left.33^{\circ} \times 108^{\circ}\right)$
Elevation [deg]

> | Vertical laser signal at 21 km |
| :---: |
| (280 shot average) |

Azimuth [deg]
FAST FoV ( 3 telescopes, $30^{\circ} \times 90^{\circ}$ )



## 

Automated all-sky camera



Clear


276 CLF shots from 2018/05/07 06:57:31.994035000


Cloudy

L. Chytka et al. (FAST Collaboration), JINST 15 T10009 (2020)

## Cherenkov dominated event






FAST waveforms + Expected signals from top-down reconstruction (Data, Simulation by the best-fit parameters)





FAST top-down reconstruction (Preliminary)
Zenith Azimuth Core(X) Core(Y) Xmax Energy $59.8 \mathrm{deg} \quad-96.7 \mathrm{deg} \quad 7.9 \mathrm{~km} \quad-9.0 \mathrm{~km} \quad 842 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \quad 17.3 \mathrm{EeV}$

## Fluorescence dominated event

## TA result




FAST result

pmt_0_20190110_063617_657398690



| FAST top-down reconstruction (Preliminary) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zenith | Azimuth | Core(X) | Core (Y) | Xmax | Energy |
| 33.9 deg | 19.3 deg | 4.6 km | -4.7 km | $808 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | 18.8 EeV |


 800
me bin [100
ns]

| TA SD (Preliminary) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zenith | Azimuth | Core(X) | Core(Y) | Energy |
| 36.2 deg | 18.0 deg | 5.0 km | -4.5 km | 15.8 EeV |
| TA FD (Preliminary) |  |  |  |  |
| 33.2 deg | 35.8 deg | 6.1 km | -5.3 km | 20.0 EeV |

## Reconstructing UHECRs with FAST@TA

- Data period: 2018/Mar/19-2019/Oct/14, 225 hours
\& Event number: 964 (TA FD) -> 179 (Single-hit with FAST, S/N > 6 $\sigma, \Delta t>500 \mathrm{~ns}$ ) -> 59 (Multi-hit)
$\uparrow$ The shower parameters are reconstructed by TA FD monocular result



* Use top-down reconstruction for events with multi-hit PMTs above 1 EeV
$\downarrow$ First-guess geometry given from the TA FD

$\uparrow$ Night sky background: $\sigma=10$ p.e. $/ 100 \mathrm{~ns}$, based on field measurements at TA and Auger sites
$\uparrow$ Test data: $X_{\text {max }}$ distributions based on CORSIKA-Conex simulations
$\uparrow 4$ species ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Fe}$ ) with 3 interaction models (EPOS-LHC, QGSJetII-04, Sibyll 2.3c)



$$
\epsilon=\frac{N_{i}\left(E_{\text {trigger }}^{\text {true }}\right)}{N_{i}\left(E_{\text {thrown }}^{\text {true }}\right)}
$$

3-fold trigger efficiency
$100 \%$ above
20 EeV

## Reconstructed $X_{\text {max }}$ distributions



$50-60 \mathrm{EeV}$



$70-80 \mathrm{EeV}$


40-50 EeV

$80-90 \mathrm{EeV}$


ャ Resolution@~40 EeV, Arrival direction: 4.2 degrees, Core: 465 m, Energy: 8\% Xmax: $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} 12$

https://youtu.be/ceN-IsaWcXg

## Coincidence event

 with Auger hybridLos Leones site at Auger







Distant laser at 26 km
CLF shots - 578 events


CLF shots - 483 events


## 



## Robust enclosure <br> Optimization of optics using 4 mirrors




New electronics development


AMP


Dual 32ch FADC (ADS52J90), 64ch FADC, 14bit, 32.5 MSPS, 32 ch

Work: Hiromu Nagasawa

## PMT R14688


$\downarrow$ R14688
$\downarrow$ R5912-03


PMT R14688 $0^{\circ}$ Uniformity


PMT R14688 $0^{\circ}$ Cross Section (X)


R5912-03, with magnetic shied (FINEMET)



## Summary and future plan

## $\downarrow$ Fluorescence detector Array of Single-pixel Telescopes

 (FAST)$\uparrow$ Low-cost fluorescence telescope array
$\uparrow$ Promising concept as next-generation cosmic ray observatory to fulfill requirements
$\downarrow$ Anisotropy with mass composition sensitivity


Expected sensitivity with a full-size FAST array
$\downarrow$ Performance estimation

- Arrival direction: 4.2 deg , Core: 465 m
$\uparrow$ Energy: 8\%, Xmax: $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}(\Delta \ln A \sim 1)$
Latest results at both northern and southern hemisphere
$\uparrow$ Identical telescopes installed at Auger and TA for cross calibration
$\uparrow$ Next step and challenges
$\uparrow$ Stand-alone operation of FAST array in field

https://www.fast-project.org


## Backup

## Data/simulation comparison using a distant vertical laser



Spot-size


A UV vertical laser at 21 km away


Directional characteristic (PMT2)

(PMT 4)


Electronics and PMT calibration in laboratory

New electronics development $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Dual } \\ 142 \mathrm{bith} \\ \text { FADC (ADS52 J90) }\end{gathered}$, 64ch FADC


Calibration using Robot arm (0.2 mm accuracy)


Single Photo Electron


Non-uniformity


## Ex He Mirror production at Olomouc, Czech republic

 Fluorescence detector Array of Single-pixel Telescopes

Installation of the FAST prototype


## Neural network first guess reconstruction

- Top-down reconstruction (Inverse Monte Carlo)
$\star$ Use all available information from individual pixel traces
$\checkmark$ Computationally expensive
$\uparrow$ Need a reliable first-guess geometry
$\uparrow$ Neural network first guess reconstruction
$\leftrightarrow 3$ input per PMT: total signal, centroid time and pulse hight
$\uparrow$ Kares/Tensorflow in Python, two hidden layers
$\uparrow 6$ outputs: $X_{\text {max }}$, energy, geometry $(\theta, \varphi, x, y)$
$\uparrow$ Very prompt reconstruction


## Installation site survey




F W Stecker, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 29 R47 (2003)

89 events, $\mathrm{E}>4 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{eV}$ AGASA(red),Haverah(green),Yakutsk(blue),Volcano(black

~20 years ago...

Xmax vs LogE(eV) HiRes stereo (circles): HiRes prototype-MIA (squares), Flys Eye (diamonds)

$E>40 \mathrm{EeV}$
J. Cronin, Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.

138:465 (2005)

## Recent results

R.A. Batista et al.,

Front.Astron.Space Sci.
6 (2019) 23
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