DARK MATTER PROPERTIES FROM INTERGALACTIC SPACE



Matteo Viel - SISSA
GSSI Colloquium
29-05-2019

Neutral Hydrogen (HI) as a cosmic tracer



mainly DLAs: absorption lines

galaxies: emission lines

Chrigton+15

- **Mild or no evolution** over 12 Gyrs (in the same period UV, thermal state star formation change dramatically).
- Most of the HI (in **mass**) associated to Damped Lyman-Alpha systems, most of the HI (in **volume**) probed by the Lyman-alpha forest.

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{HI}} \sim \Omega_{\nu} \sim \Omega_{\star}$$

ABSORPTION

lambda=1215.67 Angstrom



EMISSION





The Lyman-alpha forest





- **Intergalactic medium:** filaments at low density (outside galaxies) - distances spanned 0.1-100 Mpc/h

- Lyman-alpha forest its the main manifestation of the IGM

- High redshift observable, 1D projected power (but also 3D)

Intensity mapping

Linear theory model: $$P_{21\,\mathrm{cm}}(k,\mu,z) = \bar{T}_b(z)^2[(b_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(z) + f(z)\mu^2)^2 P_{\mathrm{m}}(k,z) + P_{\mathrm{SN}}(z)],$$



Villaescusa-Navarro+18

$$\bar{T}_b(z) = 189h\left(\frac{H_0(1+z)^2}{H(z)}\right)\Omega_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(z)\ \mathrm{mK},$$

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(z) = \frac{1}{\rho_c^0}\int_0^\infty n(M,z)M_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(M,z)dM,$$

$$b_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(z) = \frac{1}{\rho_c^0\Omega_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(z)}\int_0^\infty n(M,z)b(M,z)M_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(M,z)dM,$$

$$P_{\mathrm{SN}}(z) = \frac{1}{(\rho_c^0\Omega_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(z))^2}\int_0^\infty n(M,z)M_{\mathrm{H\,I}}^2(M,z)dM,$$

$$M_{\mathrm{H\,I}}(M,z) = M_0\left(\frac{M}{M_{\mathrm{min}}}\right)^\alpha \exp(-(M_{\mathrm{min}}/M)^{0.35}).$$

M_{min} decreases with redshift
alpha increases with redshift

Towards a consistent model

$$\delta = -1 \rightarrow \delta \sim 30 \rightarrow \delta \sim 100$$



Villaescusa-Navarro,MV+14

Some key questions

- Is there a consistent model of HI evolution from z=1100 to z=0?

  what are the observables we *cannot* fit?

- To what extent HI traces the underlying structure formation process?

  scale dependent bias? HI halo model? Shot noise?

- To what extent HI evolution is influenced by galaxy formation?

- Can we constrain feedback models?

- Is there evidence of new physics?

  NEUTRINO MASSES, GEOMETRY OF THE UNIVERSE, DARK MATTER NATURE?

Semi-analytical models for the Ly-a forest

(Bi 1993, Bi & Davidsen 1997, Hui & Gnedin 1998, Matarrese & Mohayaee 2002)

$$k_J^{-1}(z) \equiv H_0^{-1}\left[\frac{2\gamma k_B T_m(z)}{3\mu m_p \Omega_{0m}(1+z)}\right]^{1/2}$$

Jeans length

$$\delta_0^{\rm IGM}(\mathbf{k},z) = \frac{\delta_0^{\rm DM}(\mathbf{k},z)}{1+k^2/k_J^2(z)} \equiv W_{\rm IGM}(k,z)D_+(z)\delta_0^{\rm DM}(\mathbf{k})$$

Filtering of linear DM density field

$$\mathbf{v}^{\rm IGM}(\mathbf{k},z) = E_+(z)\frac{i\mathbf{k}}{k^2}W_{\rm IGM}(k,z)\delta_0^{\rm DM}(\mathbf{k})$$

Peculiar velocity

$$n_{\rm IGM}(\mathbf{x},z) = \bar{n}_{\rm IGM}(z)\exp\left[\delta_0^{\rm IGM}(\mathbf{x},z) - \frac{\langle(\delta_0^{\rm IGM})^2\rangle D_+^2(z)}{2}\right]$$

Non linear density field

$$T(\mathbf{x},z) = \boxed{T_0(z)}\,(1+\delta^{\rm IGM}(\mathbf{x},z))^{\boxed{\gamma(z)}-1}$$

'Equation-of-state'

$$\boxed{\alpha(z,T(z))\,n_p n_e = J(z)\,n_{\rm HI}}\ ,$$

Neutral hydrogen
ionization equilibrium equation

$$\tau(u) = \frac{\sigma_{0,\alpha}\,c}{H(z)}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy\, n_{\rm HI}(y)\,\mathcal{V}\left[u-y-v_{\parallel}^{\rm IGM}(y),\, b(y)\right]$$

Optical depth

Density Velocity Temperature

Linear fields:
density, velocity

↓

Non linear fields

+

Temperature

↓

Spectra:
Flux=exp(-τ)

MV, Matarrese S., Mo HJ., Haehnelt M., Theuns T., 2002a, MNRAS, 329, 848

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

ABSORPTION

Investigated with hydro sims. down to M_{gas} = 1.e4 M_{sun}. (DM only not working).

High resolution needed, combination of low-res high-res very interesting for future and present 1D/3D flux power self consistent modelling.

Semi-analytical models could achieve a 10% agreement with observations.

Halo-model of forest absorption also developed (Irsic & McQuinn 17).

1D flux power fit: typically ~20 parameters fit done with MCMC with a likelihood built on **O(tens) accurate hydro sims.**

EMISSION

Investigated with hydrosims down to M_{gas} = 1.e6-7M_{sun}. DM only simulations also working and still used.

High res. hydro needed for accurate predictions on 21 cm power amplitude (shape is fine only with DM)

HI halo models quite used by the community (allow to explore parameter space faster).

Forecast made using **Fisher Matrix**. Very little data available that are either able to constrain some parameters of the model or get an evidence for LSS contribution with cross-correlation.

ABSORPTION

as a
DM probe

IGM SIMULATIONS



Bolton+17, Sherwood simulation suite (PRACE call: 15 CPU Mhrs)
Puchwen+19, New simulations (PRACE call: 23 CPU Mhrs)

New
PRACE runs
down to
M_gas=10^{4} M_sun



COSMOLOGY WITH QSOs

BOSS/SDSS-III



Low resolution BOSS and SDSS-III spectra S/N~2-3 - 160,000 spectra

Used to detect BAOs at z=2.3 and correlations in the transverse direction

Used to place stringent constraints on neutrino masses <0.12 eV

Busca+13, Slosar+14, Font-Ribera+14
Palanque-Delabrouille+15
Seljak+06, Baur+16, Yeche+17 etc.

XQ-100



Medium resolution X-Shooter VLT spectra S/N ~ 30

100 spectra at z>3.5

Used to place stringent constraints on Warm Dark Matter in combination with high res. spectra

Irsic, MV+ 17a,17b
Lopez+16, Irsic+16

HIRES/MIKE



High resolution VLT or Keck spectra S/N ~100 - ~hundreds of spectra

Used for WDM, astrophysics of the IGM and galaxy formation, variation of fundamental constants

MV+05,08,13, Becker+11
Yeche+17, Garzilli+18 ,
Bosman+18

Highlight nr. 1: BAO discovery





https://arxiv.org/1904.03430

HIGHLIGHT nr. 2: NEUTRINO CONSTRAINTS

MATTER POWER
SPECTRUM SUPPRESSION
INDUCED BY NEUTRINO
FREE STREAMING

LYMAN-ALPHA FOREST CONSTRAINTS
2sigma upper limit on
total neutrino mass is 0.12 eV



Bird, Viel, Haehnelt (2012)



Palanque-Delabrouille+15 arxiv: 1506.05976

High redshift Lyman-alpha flux power



High redshift:
constraining reionization

Statistical error usually comparable or larger than known systematic errors

THE COSMIC WEB in WDM/LCDM scenarios



z=0

$$\frac{T_x}{T_\nu} = \left(\frac{10.75}{g_*(T_D)}\right)^{1/3} < 1$$

$$\boxed{k_{\rm FS} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_{\rm FS}} \sim 5\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}\left(\frac{m_x}{1\,{\rm keV}}\right)\left(\frac{T_\nu}{T_x}\right)}$$

$$\omega_x = \Omega_x h^2 = \beta\left(\frac{m_x}{94\,{\rm eV}}\right)$$

$$\beta = (T_x/T_\nu)^3$$

z=2

$$\boxed{k_{\rm FS} \sim 15.6\frac{h}{\rm Mpc}\left(\frac{m_{\rm WDM}}{1{\rm keV}}\right)^{4/3}\left(\frac{0.12}{\Omega_{\rm DM}h^2}\right)^{1/3}}$$

z=5

WARNING:

Numerical fragmentation is less of an issue for the Lyman-alpha forest flux, but still reaching convergence especially at high-z is demanding (voids contribute).

MV, Markovic, Baldi & Weller 2013
Markovic & MV, 2014

Smoothing scales



Irsic,MV+17

- Different physical scales (on top of instrumental resolution) affect the power spectrum cutoff:
- **thermal**: instataneous temperature at that redshift;
- **Jeans:** scale due to gas pressure;
- **filtering scale**: depends on all the previous thermal history;
- WDM cutoffs are basically redshift independent
- Constraints are obtained from a full shape of the 1D fux power.

STATUS in 2013

$M_{\text{thermal WDM}} > 3.3$ keV (2σ C.L.)



This lower limit is derived under some assumptions

1) Bayesian analysis

2) Bootstrap-derived covariance matrix from mock QSO sample with entries multiplied by 1.3

3) resolution corrections performed and particularly important at high-z & small-scales

4) limited exploration of z_reio Jeans smoothing

2017/18 status: the X-Shooter sample and new results for WDM (thermal)

Irsic, MV+, 2017b, arxiv: 1702.01764



Irsic

- Likelihood greatly improves (shrinks) when combined with HIRES, pushing towards LCDM (cold).
- **Increasing covariance matrix by 1.3 (for XQ-100) or applying weak priors on cosmo paramete does not impact (this is good)**.
- Limits are then: > 1.4, > 4.1, > 5.3 keV for the reference cases for XQ-100 (medium res.), HIRES/Keck (high res.) and combined, respectively.

X-Shooter sample: IGM thermal priors

Irsic, MV+, 2017b, arxiv: 1702.01764



- Thermal history is the main nuisance. It is marginalized over but still quite sensitive to priors.
- For reference case $T_{IGM}(z)$ assumed to be a power-law (motivated by IGM physics), having this assumption lifted weakens the combined constrained to 3.5 keV.
- Key-aspect here: wide redshift range that allows to break degeneracies between WDM cutoff, Jeans pressure, filtering scale (all suppress power but differently in z).

Redshift coverage is important

Since it allows to break degeneracies



Irsic,MV+17

Reionization - I: islands of neutral hydrogen surviving?



Kulkarni+18

Reionization - II: temperature and UV fluctations



Onorbe+18: homogenous (left) vs. patchy reionization (right) with temperature fluctuations

UVB fluctuations decrease opacity in overdense regions with more sources of ionizing photons, and increase the opacity in underdense regions that are distant from sources.

Temperature fluctuations: overdense regions reionize early and are cold and opaque, while underdense regions reionize late and are hot and transparent.

Reionization - III: effects on 1D flux power

UVB fluctuations effects


Onorbe+18

Temperature fluctuations


Onorbe+18

- Small scale effects seem to be small (if any) and are likely not to spoil WDM constraints.

- Large scale effects will be constrained by data and significantly affect 3D and 1D power. However, BAO constraints are at z=2.3.

Scalar Dark Matter - I

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi = m^2 \phi, \quad G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu},$$

KG and Einstein equations

$$T^{\phi}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\rho\phi\partial^\rho\phi - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2\right) + \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi.$$

Energy momentum tensor
for the scalar field

$$ds^2 = -(1+2\Phi)dt^2 + a(t)^2(1-2\Phi)d\boldsymbol{x}^2$$

Metric

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}}\left(\varphi e^{-imt} + \varphi^* e^{imt}\right)$$

Oscillating field

$$i\left(\dot{\varphi} + \frac{3}{2}H\varphi\right) = -\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{2a^2m} + m\Phi\varphi,$$

Dropping higher order and averaging
over one oscillating period:
Schrodinger type eq.

$$\rho_\phi \equiv m\varphi\varphi^*, \quad v_i \equiv \frac{\partial_i\{\arg(\varphi)\}}{am} = -\frac{i}{2am}\left(\frac{\partial_i\varphi}{\varphi} - \frac{\partial_i\varphi^*}{\varphi^*}\right)$$

Defining density and velocities
of the fluid

$$\dot{v}_i + Hv_i + \frac{v_j\partial_j v_i}{a} = -\frac{\partial_i\Phi}{a} + \boxed{\frac{1}{2a^3m^2}\partial_i\left(\frac{\partial^2\sqrt{\rho_\phi}}{\sqrt{\rho_\phi}}\right)}$$

Euler eq. NOTE the pressure term

$$\dot{\rho}_\phi + 3H\rho_\phi + \frac{\partial_i(\rho_\phi v_i)}{a} = 0.$$

Continuity

Hui+16 for a review, Mocz & Succi 15 for SPH implementation, Marsh+15, Nori&Baldi 18

Scalar Dark Matter - II

$$\delta_{\rm m} = F\delta_\phi + (1-F)\delta_{\rm c}.$$

$$\ddot{\delta}_{\phi k} + 2H\dot{\delta}_{\phi k} + \frac{c_s^2 k^2}{a^2}\delta_{\phi k} - \frac{3}{2}H^2\delta_{{\rm m}k} = 0,$$
$$\ddot{\delta}_{{\rm c}k} + 2H\dot{\delta}_{{\rm c}k} - \frac{3}{2}H^2\delta_{{\rm m}k} = 0.$$

Linear perturbation theory
in CDM+scalar field model

$$c_s^2 \equiv \frac{k^2}{4a^2m^2}, \qquad \frac{k_{\rm J}}{a} = \sqrt{Hm},$$

Sound speed of scalar DM and Jeans scale definition

$$\boxed{\frac{k_{\rm Jeq}}{a_0} = \frac{a_{\rm eq}}{a_0}\sqrt{H_{\rm eq}m} \approx 7\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}\left(\frac{m}{10^{-22}\,{\rm eV}}\right)^{1/2}}$$



At $k<k_J$ no pressure
At $k>k_J$ pressure and oscillations
no growth
Comoving Jeans $k_J \sim a^{1/4}$ in MD
Important quantity is k_J at equival.

Plateau is set by FDM fraction
Cutoff scale set by FDM mass

Simulating Scalar Dark Matter

Schive+ 14



$$M_c \approx \frac{5.5\times 10^9}{(m_B/10^{-23}\ \mathrm{eV})^2(\mathrm{r_c/kpc})} M_\odot$$



- Very **distintictive prediction: solitonic core** (steep jump in density) with a simple numerical solution (e.g. Mocz+17, Schive +17, Marsh+15, Nori & Baldi 18).
- Stability of the core over cosmological times still an open issue.
- Size of the core $M_{\mathrm{core}} \sim M_{\mathrm{galaxy}}^{1/3}$.
- Claimed detection at 10^{-22} eV from Phornax.
- Searched in galaxies but not seen, however baryon might be an issue (Blum+17).

X-Shooter sample + HIRES/MIKE: constraints on ultra-light axions (Fuzzy Dark Matter)

Irsic, MV+, 2017c, arxiv: 1703.04683



- New interest in FDM models. **VERY RICH IMPLICATIONS.**

- WDM thermal IGM constraints translated into FDM constraints by mapping $k_{1/2}$: poor approximation for large axion masses > 1.e-21.

- IGM constraints are **>2-4 x 10^{-21} eV** - ruling out the window range **0.1-1 x 10^{-21} eV** typically chosen to solve (putative) small scale LCDM crisis.

X-Shooter sample+HIRES/MIKE: constraints on ultra-light axions (Fuzzy Dark Matter)



X-Shooter sample+HIRES/MIKE: constraints on ultra-light axions (Fuzzy Dark Matter)



Unreasonably low temperatures?
Maybe not (e.g. Boera et al. finds 7000 K)
but then there is a "jump" at z=4.6

Non-cold Dark Matter at small scales - I: a new and more general approach

Standard approach

$$T(k) = [1 + (\alpha k)^{2\nu}]^{-5/\nu}$$

Applies to thermal WDM
(Fermi Dirac distribution)

$$\nu = 1.12\,;$$

$$\alpha = 0.049 \left(\frac{m_x}{1\ \mathrm{keV}}\right)^{-1.11} \left(\frac{\Omega_x}{0.25}\right)^{0.11} \left(\frac{h}{0.7}\right)^{1.22} h^{-1}\mathrm{Mpc}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$

New general approach

$$T(k) = [1 + (\alpha k)^{\beta}]^{\gamma}$$

Applies to ?

The larger is beta, the flatter is the shape for k < $k_{1/2}$; the larger is gamma, the steeper is the small-scale cutoff
.

Murgia, Merle, MV +17





Non-cold Dark Matter at small scales - II: particle physics models





Simple parametrization proposed works well for:

- sterile neutrinos from scalar decays
- sterile neutrinos resonantly produced
- mixed models
- fuzzy dark matter
- ETHOS models

Non-Cold Dark Matter and constraints on the SHAPE of the cutoff



$\alpha < 0.03\ \mathrm{Mpc}/h\ (2\sigma)$

$|\beta/\gamma| < 14$

R. Murgia

Murgia, Irsic, MV, 2019

EMISSION as a NEUTRINO and GEOMETRY PROBE

Simulating intensity mapping signal: the HI bias





Villaescusa-Navarro, MV + 2014

- Modelling of HI distribution based on particles and hydrosims of different box sizes of 15,30,60,120 Mpc/h linear size and different feedback implementation with and without galactic feedback (B60W with galatic winds, B60 without).

Simulating intensity mapping signal: large scales



- Scale dependence bias also present in massive neutrino cosmologies.
- M_{HI}(M) not affected by the presence of neutrinos.
- HI is more clustered in massive neutrino sims. (but Omega$_{HI}$ lower) - because small mass haloes are suppressed i.e. impact on n_{HALO}(M).
- IM alone would provide constraint of about sigma(M_nu) = 30 meV (not very constraining compared to other probes).
- Radiative transfer postprocessing important but does not impact much the limit above





Villaescusa-Navarro, MV, Bull, 2015

BAOs with SKA1-MID - I



Villaescusa-Navarro, Alonso, MV, 2017

BAOs with SKA1-MID - II

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} P_{21\mathrm{cm,obs,1D}}(k_\parallel, z) = \frac{1}{4\pi R^2} P_{21\mathrm{cm}}(k_\parallel, z)$$



Villaescusa-Navarro, Alonso, MV, 2017

BAOs with SKA1-MID - III



Villaescusa-Navarro, Alonso, MV, 2017

BAOs with SKA1-MID - IV

PEAK POSITION MEASUREMENT with Noise and Foregrounds



z range	$\langle z \rangle$	mask		σ_α	
			(C)	(C+N)	(C+N+FG)
[0.36-0.75]	0.6	no	1.008 ± 0.016	1.008 ± 0.016	1.007 ± 0.016
		yes	1.006 ± 0.020	1.006 ± 0.021	1.006 ± 0.024
[0.75-1.26]	1.0	no	0.996 ± 0.010	0.997 ± 0.011	0.996 ± 0.011
		yes	0.997 ± 0.012	0.997 ± 0.013	0.998 ± 0.015
[1.26-1.98]	1.6	no	1.001 ± 0.011	1.004 ± 0.014	1.003 ± 0.014
		yes	1.000 ± 0.013	1.003 ± 0.016	1.004 ± 0.019
[1.98-3.05]	2.5	no	1.004 ± 0.013	1.003 ± 0.021	1.000 ± 0.021
		yes	1.004 ± 0.016	1.002 ± 0.026	1.002 ± 0.031

Villaescusa-Navarro, Alonso, MV, 2017

Simulating intensity mapping signal: small scales



Villaescusa-Navarro+18
based on Illustris TNG



- Modeling of HI halo important also for halo models - Surely affected by feedback but maybe also sensitive to DM nature?
- Large scatter in the HI density profile.
- Mass dependence and central vs. satellites galaxies important to compare with observations.

Simulating intensity mapping signal: WDM



Isabella Carucci

Carucci, Villaescusa, MV, Lapi 15

`Probably able` **`to rule out a 4 keV WDM model`** `with 5000 hours of observations at z > 3, while a smaller mass of 3 keV, comparable to present day constraints, can be ruled out at more than 2  confidence level with 1000 hours of observations at z > 5 - Note that` *`density inside haloes poorly modelled.`*

SUMMARY

- HI important cosmic tracer to perform **quantitative cosmology** especially at high redshift. BAO, geometry and DM nature can be investigated.

- From the forest: **no support for neutrino masses larger than zero or non cold dark matter.**

- New frontier for forest is the **high redshift** close to reionization and 3D/1D self consistent model.

- **Mocking 21cm maps with N-body simulations with inputs calibrated with high-res hydro sims** and/or semi-analytical models of structure formation is a new avenue for probing the high redshift universe.


z
5.5
5.6
5.7
5.8
5.9
Normalized Flux
0.0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0.4
0.5
Filter Transmission
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
7900
8000
8100
8200
8300
8400


Δ Dec (arcmin)
40
20
0
-20
-40
Δ RA (arcmin)
40
20
0
-20
-40
20 Mpc/h
Σ_LAE / <Σ_LAE>
0
1
2
3