Further studies on He-CF₄-isobutane mixtures for the CYGNO TPC and studies of the P/T detector response⁴

<u>Rita C. Roque</u>, <u>R. Daniel P. Mano</u>, Joaquim M.F. dos Santos, Cristina M.B. Monteiro and Fernando D. Amaro LIBPhys, Department of Physics, University of Coimbra, 3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal



Experimental Setup Detector Components: Meshes with ~84% optical transparency; Gas outlet **Standard GEM** with 3 x 3 cm² area; LAAPD: 55Fe source Active diameter: 16 mm; \bigcirc Dead region 0.5 kV/cm \mathcal{A} Optical sensitivity range: 150 - 1000 nm. \bigcirc Drift mesh Drift field 0.5 kV/cm GEM **GEM bottom** Charge readout Induction field 0.3 kV/cm 🖌 Induction mesh Secondary electrons Dead region A are collected at the 0.1 kV/cm bottom of the GEM. LAAPD 1750 V LAAPD readout Gas inlet The LAAPD detects the EL produced in the GEM avalanches. Photo of our detector.

Experimental Setup



Former results (a reminder)



- The number of avalanche electrons increases with increasing content of isobutane.
- Energy resolution unaffected (charge signals).

- EL yield decreases with increasing content of isobutane.
- Energy resolution degradation (EL signals).

EL photons emitted per avalanche electron



The number of EL photons emitted per avalanche electron is approximately **inversely proportional** to the percentage of isobutane present in the mixture.

Producing additional EL photons in the induction gap



- EL yield increases with increasing Induction field.
- Maximum values limited by detector discharges.

- 40% decrease in EL due to the addition of isobutane.
- Similar results for 1% and 3% isobutane content.

New measurements

We have placed a **borosilicate glass** window (filter) to **cut off the VUV-UV photons** and, this way, match the CYGNO's camera spectral sensitivity range.

With this setup, we can evaluate the EL emission in the spectral range from **300 - 1000 nm**.





New measurements

We have placed a **borosilicate glass** window (filter) to **cut off the VUV-UV photons** and, this way, match the CYGNO's camera spectral sensitivity range.

With this setup, we can evaluate the EL emission in the spectral range from **300 - 1000 nm**.

EL spectra (LAAPD)





400

The glass absorbs the 5.9 keV => no direct x-rays in the LAAPD.

The EL spectra do not have the direct ⁵⁵Fe absorption peak for calibration => calibration **à posteriori**.

 $\begin{array}{l} & \underset{\text{ratio}}{\text{EL and }^{5}\text{Fe}} \\ & \underset{\text{ratio}}{\frac{\eta_{\gamma}}{\text{keV}}} = \frac{A_{EL}}{A_{X}} \times \frac{1}{w(Si) \times QE \times \Omega \times T} \end{array}$



He-40%CF₄ + isobutane mixtures



Good validation:

charge measurements are within 10% of those obtained without the glass window.

Isobutane seems to quench visible EL photons emitted by He-40%CF₄: the EL peak amplitude decreases with increasing isobutane content.

Isobutane slightly degrades the energy resolution: this is probably due to low statistics and not to decreased detector performance.



He-CF₄ mixtures



Increasing the amount of CF₄ increases the EL(max) peak

amplitude, because the GEM sustains higher voltages before the onset of micro-discharges.

Helium improves the energy resolution of the EL signals:

The minimum energy resolution obtained was around **20%**.

He-CF₄ mixtures + 2% isobutane



Visible EL

300 nm - 1000 nm

He-CF₄ mixtures + 5% isobutane



For 5% isobutane, EL(max) is similar for all %CF₄ in the He-CF₄ mixtures.

	EL(max) centroid
He-40%CF ₄	596.3
He-40%CF ₄ + 5% isobutane	268.09 ▼ 55%
He- <mark>50%</mark> CF ₄ + 5% isobutane	295.99 ▼ 50%
CF ₄ + 5%isobutane	378.91 ▼ 36%

Maximum EL amplitudes (EL(max)) of He-CF₄-isobutane



- With 2% isobutane there is <u>50% more EL(max)</u> for 60%CF₄ than for 40%CF₄ (which has been used so far).
- With 5% isobutane the amount of EL(max) is similar for 60%CF₄ and 40%CF₄ and 50-67% lower than with 2% isobutane.
- With 5% isobutane EL(max) is always lower independently of %CF₄

• 60%CF₄ and 2% isobutane shows the highest EL(max).

Visible EL

- Above 60%CF₄ EL(max) will not improve, it is already roughly as high as for 100%CF₄.
- For 5% isobutane EL(max) is similar for contents above $30\% CF_4$.

Detector stability test to ambient variables (P/T)



Data taken with a ³³Fe source, with He-40%CF₄ flowing at 2 L/h. Charge signals were collected at the bottom of the GEM. The voltage across the GEM was 520 V, with a drift field of 0.5 kV/cm and an induction field of 3.0 kV/cm. The LAAPD was biased at 1800 V. Ambient pressure and temperature were recorded with a BMP 280 sensor, controlled with an ELEGOO UNO R3 Board.

Detector stability test to ambient variables (P/T)



Data taken with a "re source, with He-40%Cr4 flowing at 2 L/n. Charge signals were collected at the bottom of the GEM. The voltage across the GEM was 520 V, with a drift field of 0.5 kV/cm and an induction field of 3.0 kV/cm. The LAAPD was biased at 1800 V. Ambient pressure and temperature were recorded with a BMP 280 sensor, controlled with an ELEGOO UNO R3 Board.

P/T detector response - charge gain



Data taken with a ⁵⁵Fe X-ray source, with He/CF₄ (60/40) flowing at 1 L/h. Charge signals were collected at the bottom of the GEM. The voltage across the GEM was 520 V, with a drift field of 0.5 kV/cm and an induction field of 0.3 kV/cm. The LAAPD was biased at 1750 V. Ambient pressure and temperature were recorded with a BMP 280 sensor, controlled with an ELEGOO UNO R3 Board over 2 days and 20 hours.

Charge gain

Sensitivity of 1.35(6) K/mB

in agreement to what is obtained in a standard GEM : 1.55 K/mB, J.A. Mir et al (2007).

Increases with increasing T

for P=1 bar and a rise in T = $20^{\circ}C \rightarrow 25^{\circ}C$. the gain variation is +7.4%.

Decreases with increasing P

for T=20°C and a rise in P=1000 mB \rightarrow 1020 mB, the gain variation is -9.6%.

P/T detector response - EL yield

Number of EL photons per secondary photon in function of P[mB] / T[K]The corresponding EL sensitivity is 1.92(8) K/mB. 1.00 Exponential fit to data: $f(q) = 1.6(4) \times 10^{-3} e^{1.92(8) \times q}$ $r^2 = 0.771$ 0.98 0000 0.96 0.94 EL yield / charge [-] 3.335 3.340 3.345 3.350 3.355 3.360 P/T [mB/K]

> Data taken with a 55 Fe X-ray source, with He/CF₄ (60/40) flowing at 1 L/h. Charge signals were collected at the bottom of the GEM. The voltage across the GEM was 520 V, with a drift field of 0.5 KV/cm and an induction field of 0.3 KV/cm. The LAAPD was biased at 1750 V. Ambient pressure and temperature were recorded with a BMP 280 sensor, controlled with an ELEGOO UNO R3 Board over 2 days and 20 hours.

EL photons per secondary e⁻

Sensitivity of 1.92(8) K/mB

42% more sensitive to temperature and pressure variations than the charge gain.

• Decreases with increasing T

for P=1 bar and a rise of T = $20^{\circ}C \rightarrow 25^{\circ}C$, the gain variation is -11.6%;;

• Increases with increasing P

for T=20°C and a rise of P=1000 mB \rightarrow 1020 mB, the gain variation is +12.3%;

Conclusions

- The number of EL photons emitted per avalanche photon is **inversely proportional** to the percentage of isobutane present in the mixture (full spectra);
- Additional EL yield can be produced in the induction gap with isobutane admixtures, although with less efficiency than for He-40%CF₄ (full spectra);
- Isobutane seems to quench visible EL photons emitted by He-40%CF₄. Increasing the amount of CF_4 in the mixture may compensate the light quenching of 2% isobutane.
- The number of EL photons per avalanche electrons increases with P/T with a sensitivity of 1.92(8) K/mB (full spectra);

What we will do next:

- Calibrate the visible EL results for calculating absolute EL yield values;
- Install the WLS in the detector to convert the UV EL into the visible range and, in this way, collect all the produced EL but within the ORCA spectral sensitivity range.

Studies of EL yield : COBRA_125 vs GEM

Grazie per l'attenzione Any questions?

1 2 9 9 0

UNIVERSIDADE D

LIBPhys-UC

IN SAGADADDAADAKAAN

IN REPORTED BARRIES OF STREET

Acknowledgement is due to the FCT PhD studentship (ref. SFRH/BD/143355/2019). This work is supported by CERN/FIS-INS/0026/2019 and UID/FIS/04559/2020 (LIBPhys), funded by national funds through FCT/MCTES and co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) through the Portuguese Operational Program for Competitiveness and Internationalization, COMPETE 2020.



INITIUM Project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 programme (grant agreement No 818744). CYGNO Project is funded by INFN.

erc



