

Probing dense matter physics with gravitational waves

from neutron star binary inspirals

Tanja Hinderer

Institute for Theoretical Physics Utrecht University, NL



L'aquila colloquium GSSI

February 16, 2022

Neutron stars: unique laboratories for extreme gravity and matter

- How can gravitational waves probe matter physics?
 - Extracting information from the data requires theoretical understanding & modeling
- What have we learned from recent discoveries?
- Outlook to exciting future prospects and remaining challenges



Neutron stars (NSs)

- Gravity compresses matter to up to several times nuclear density
- Large extrapolation from known physics



- > Thousands observed to date, some masses > $2M_{\odot}$
- Quantum pressure (neutron degeneracy) can only support up to ~ $0.7 M_{\odot}$
- Unique window onto strongly-interacting subatomic matter

[Oppenheimer & Volkoff 1939]

Conjectured NS structure

NS matter ranges over nearly 10 orders of magnitude in density: rich variety of physics

[density of iron ~ 10 g/cm³]

crust ~ km

Lattice of neutron rich nuclei 10¹⁰ times stronger than steel free neutrons ~ 10⁶ g/cm³ inverse *β*-decay

~ 10¹¹ g/cm³ neutron drip

outer core

uniform liquid (neutron superfluid, superconducting protons, electrons, muons)

deep core

~ few x 10¹⁴ g/cm³

 $\gtrsim 2x$ nuclear density, nucleons overlap -

- new degrees of freedom relevant
- deconfined quarks?

intermediate exotic condensates (hyperons, kaons, pions, ...)?

Neutron stars as QCD labs



- Characterize phases of QCD, probe deconfinement
- Deeper understanding of strong interactions, their unusual properties, e.g.
 - asymptotic freedom (weak coupling at shorter distances)
 - Vacuum (condensate) has important effects, e.g. mass



proton mass: ~ 938 MeV only ~ 1% due to Higgs

NSs as labs for emergent structural complexity



- Collective phenomena, multi-body interactions
- Effects of the excess of neutrons over protons (isospin asymmetry)?
- How do nucleons and their quarks and gluons assemble and interact to create the structure of matter?

Gravitational waves (GWs) from binary systems



Measurements: data cross-correlated with theoretical waveform models

GW signatures of matter



Generic phenomena (any objects that are not classical GR black holes in 4d),

associated characteristic parameters set the size of the GW signatures, encode object's internal structure

Inspiral: matter effects small but cumulative, accessible with current detectors

Example of a characteristic matter parameter

• In a binary: tidal field $\mathscr{C}_{ij} = R_{0i0j}$ due to spacetime curvature from companion



When variations in tidal field are much faster than NS's internal timescales (adiabatic limit):

Induced deformation:

tidal deformability parameter

 $\mathcal{Q}_{ij} = -\lambda \mathcal{E}_{ij}$

=0 for a black hole

[Kol,Smolkin '11,Chia '20, Casals, LeTiec '20,...]

Properties of NS matter reflected in global observables



Main influence on GWs

• Energy goes into deforming the NS:

$$E \sim E_{
m orbit} + rac{1}{4} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{E}$$

moving multipoles contribute to gravitational radiation

$$\dot{E}_{
m GW} \sim \left[rac{d^3}{dt^3}\left(Q_{
m orbit}+\mathcal{Q}
ight)
ight]^2$$

• approx. GW phase evolution from energy balance:

$$\Delta \phi_{
m GW}^{
m tidal} \sim {oldsymbol{\lambda}} {(M\omega)^{10/3}\over M^5}$$

[Flanagan, TH 2008]

for two NSs: most sensitive to the combination (similar to chirp mass):

$$m_1, \lambda_1 \qquad \qquad \tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{13c^{10}}{16\,G^5\,M^5} \left[\left(1 + 12\frac{m_2}{m_1} \right) \lambda_1 + \left(1 + 12\frac{m_1}{m_2} \right) \lambda_2 \right]$$

• Effects included in state-of-the-art waveform models (full black hole baseline) for data analysis

[Damour, Nagar, TH+2016, Steinhoff+, Dietrich+, Henry+, Schmidt, Pratten +]



 $M = m_{\rm NS} + m_2$

Measurements/constraints on tidal deformability



Example implications for subatomic physics

• Joint constraints: GWs, kilonova, NICER/xMMNewton, radio pulsars, nuclear/QCD physics



Priors for different chiral effective field theory extensions (nuclear multi-body interactions, symmetry energy ...)

Geert Raaijmakers+ 2021 arXiv:2105.06981

More realistic descriptions of tidal effects



- NSs have a rich spectrum of quasi-normal oscillation modes
- Several have frequencies < kHz: tidal excitations in inspirals
- Spectroscopy of NS interiors, possible EM flares
- Even non-resonant excitations can lead to significant effects, e.g. fundamental modes with ω_f



A rotating NS also has gravitomagnetic modes

- inertial modes associated with the Coriolis effect [includes 'r-modes']
- Mode frequencies \propto spin frequency arsigma
 - Will pass through full resonance at some point during inspiral
- Dominant coupling to the gravitomagnetic tidal tensor $\mathcal{B}_{ii} = {}^{*}R_{0i0i}$
 - ~ frame-dragging field, no Newtonian analog



Credit: A. Persson, wikipedia.org

Several interesting features

[Kumar, Steinhoff, TH 2020]





[post-Newtonian analyses for GWs:

Racine & Flanagan 2006, Poisson 2020, Ma & Chen 2021, Ho & Lai 2001 (Newtonian), many other works on r-modes, Love numbers]

spacetime near the NS viewed on the orbital scale:



tidally induced mass & (matter contributions to) current multipoles



spacetime near the NS viewed on the orbital scale:



tidally induced mass & (matter contributions to) current multipoles



spacetime near the NS viewed on the orbital scale:



tidally induced mass & (matter contributions to) current multipoles



spacetime near the NS viewed on the orbital scale:



tidally induced mass & (matter contributions to) current multipoles







Testing models against numerical relativity results

- Approximate *f*-mode effects included in the model SEOBNRv4T [TH+2016]
 - Other models available based on different tidal enhancement (amplified tidal field) and different BH baseline (Phenom)
 - Approximate quasi-universal relation between ω_f and λ [Chan + 2014]



Foucart+2018

Testing models against numerical relativity results

Same system but with the BH replaced by another NS



• Several other examples tested, also with waveforms from different codes.

Testing models against numerical relativity

- recently added: NS spin effect on resonance in SEOBNRv4T model
- Diagnostic quantity: phase difference to nonspinning phase difference



Similar results when compared with

- other existing waveform models
- NS-BH numerical relativity waveforms from the SpEC code [Francois Foucart]

17

Comparison to numerical relativity: NS-BH

- NR data: SpEC code [F. Foucart, SXS]
- Link between *f*-mode and tidal disruption GW signature:
 - SEOBNRv4T waveform tapered to zero at the resonance



Near-term future prospects

next observing run O4: LIGO/Virgo near/reaching design sensitivity



- More accurate measurements of nearby sources
- greater number & diversity of events

Plans for next-generation detectors moving ahead (~2035)



• Prototype being built in Maastricht



- I0 times better sensitivity than LIGO/Virgo
 - O(100 000) binary merger detections per year!
 - High precision studies of nearby sources
- Wider frequency range:
 - measure post-merger & tidal disruptions
 - early alerts for EM
 - tidal resonances in early inspiral

Many remaining theoretical challenges

- high accuracy and efficient waveforms over wide parameter space
- subdominant matter effects, higher modes of GW signals, arbitrary spins, more relativistic corrections, ...
- Understand complex NS-NS merger regimes, include more realistic microphysics
- degeneracies (e.g. alternative gravity, dark matter)
- Eccentricity
- connection of GW parameters to fundamental matter physics
- effects of using universal relations?

Strengthen the numerous interdisciplinary connections to maximize science benefits:

EM counterparts, x-ray & radio pulsars, nuclear & QCD theory and experiments, ...

Summary and outlook

Neutron stars are unique laboratories for frontiers in fundamental physics





- GWs are new probes of NS physics
- Optimizing the science gains requires connections with various interdisciplinary information (theory, experiments, observations)
- Exciting near- & longer-term future with larger & more precise datasets
 - Much work remains on modeling, interpretation, synthesis to fully realize the science potential